

Development Strategy

Topic Paper

Appendix 19 Settlement Boundaries
Review 2026



Greater Cambridge Local Plan

Topic Paper published as part of the Proposed Submission
Local Plan - Regulation 19 consultation (August 2026 -
September 2026)

Defined Development Extents
Review 2026

Greater Cambridge Shared Planning

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Introduction and Policy Context.....	3
Introduction	3
The aims for reviewing Defined Development Extents	4
What are Defined Development Extents?	4
Policy Context:.....	5
National Policy Requirements:	5
Local Policy Background:	7
Methodology.....	11
Approach to review of Defined Development Extents.....	11
Review of adopted Development Framework boundaries.....	13
New Defined Development Extent proposed for Cambridge.....	16
Outside of the defined development extents.....	17
Recommendations and Outputs.....	17
Appendix A.....	19
Review of Local Authority alternate approaches.....	19
Appendix B:.....	22
Development frameworks for review by Settlement Hierarchy, South Cambridgeshire Adopted Local Plan (September 2018).....	22
Appendix C: Mapped proposals amended defined development extents.....	24
Appendix D: Mapped proposals amended defined development extents – completed development change maps	25

Executive Summary

This Defined Development Extents Review provides the background and evidence for the designation of defined development extents for Policy S/DE in the Greater Cambridge Local Plan. This review follows on from the Strategy Topic paper from the Greater Cambridge Local Plan First Proposals and sets out the evidence for the emerging Policy S/DE in the Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

Defined development extents provide clarity and certainty about the areas that make up a settlement for planning purposes. The extents identify the main built-up area of a settlement within which development is considered acceptable in principle subject to other policy considerations. The purpose of defined development extents is to ensure that the countryside is protected from gradual encroachment, to maintain the townscape and landscape character of settlements and to limit incremental growth in unsustainable locations.

This review provides information on the policy context for Policy S/DE outlining how it has been informed by the councils previously adopted policy as well as national planning policy. The review outlines the methodology undertaken in reviewing the adopted defined development extents and outlines a series of recommendations and defined development extents revisions for the emerging Local Plan policy.

Introduction and Policy Context

Introduction

1. This evidence review sets out the methodology for the review of the adopted Defined Development Extents with recommendations and outputs for the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan. The current development framework boundaries stated within the adopted South Cambridgeshire Adopted Local Plan 2018 at policy S/7, were reviewed in line with national and local policy, and alternate local planning authorities' recent methodologies, to ensure that the proposed defined development extents are fit for purpose.
2. In the Adopted 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, policy (S/7) 'Development Frameworks' are used to define the built limits of a settlement, as well as differentiate between what is the built form of a settlement, where the principle of development is usually acceptable and the countryside, where development is strictly controlled. It has been proposed in the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan that policy (S/DE) 'Defined Development Extents' will be introduced and once adopted will replace the current Development Framework policy (S/7). At First Proposals Policy S/DE Defined Development Extents was known as Policy S/SB Settlement boundaries. Through the course of the review the councils' will be referring to development framework policy in the adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (2018) as Defined Development Extents policy.
3. The production of the Greater Cambridge Local Plan provides an opportunity to review the adopted defined development extents to reflect any changes that have occurred and amend any errors or inconsistencies in the original development frameworks. This will ensure that the new defined development extents once adopted in the Greater Cambridge Local Plan are robust and will remain effective going forward.
4. To ensure that the approach to reviewing the adopted defined development extents was up to date and robust, the combined authority examined various

external authorities local plan evidence reports for policies surrounding defined development extents. There is no set guidance within the NPPF or PPG on how to review defined development extents and therefore, alongside reviewing the existing defined development extents for material changes or anomalies, a review of the approach to reviewing defined development extents by other local planning authorities was also undertaken. This review is set out in further detail in Appendix A.

5. As part of the Greater Cambridge Local Plan, draft Policy S/DE is named as Defined Development Extents. Previously within the adopted 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, these were named Development Frameworks through policy S/7. Through our review research alternative names for defined development extents also include: 'settlement frameworks', 'development boundaries', 'development envelopes', 'settlement limits' and 'village envelopes'.

The aims for reviewing Defined Development Extents

- To ensure that defined development extents are logical and easy to identify on the ground.
- To identify what parts of the settlement should and should not be included in the defined development extents.
- To reflect small changes where recent developments have already completed, were appropriate.

What are Defined Development Extents?

6. Previous and current Local Plans for South Cambridgeshire have included defined development extents, in order to define the boundary between the built development area (the settlement) and non-development area/countryside. They define where policies for built-up areas give way to policies for the countryside. In broad terms, the efficient re-use of land within the defined development extents is generally supported, subject to meeting other policy requirements, whilst development outside defined development extents is restricted to development associated with agriculture, horticulture,

forestry, outdoor recreation and other uses which need to be located in the countryside.

7. The purpose of defined development extents is to prevent the gradual encroachment of development into open countryside in an uncontrolled and unplanned way. It also preserves the character of village edges and specific locational features, townscapes and landscapes, protects high quality agricultural land and prevents the coalescence of settlements. Therefore, defined development extents guide development into sustainable locations that have concentrations of existing residential, employment premises, services and infrastructure. This is embedded within the Councils approach to development in rural areas, as defined development extents are classified through the settlement hierarchy policy (S/SH) to reflect their relative sustainability. Depending on how sustainable a location is this will reflect the amount of development permitted in that area.
8. The current adopted development framework policy boundaries (policy S/7) can be viewed on the [South Cambridgeshire District Council Local Plan Adopted Policies Map 2018](#). The policies map shows the development framework boundary designation for settlements listed within the adopted settlement hierarchy policies (S/8 to S/11) of the Plan. Note, Childerley and Little Chishill named in the policy in the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan were not formally designated a framework boundary given the small nature of these settlements.

Policy Context:

National Policy Requirements:

9. The NPPF does not provide detailed guidance surrounding defining defined development extents and how to review defined development extents policy. Where the Local Authority applies policy to describe defined development extents it must be in broad alignment with what set out in the National

Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) to ensure identified Defined Development Extents adhere to national policy in terms of sustainable development.

10. The NPPF (December 2024) does however provide guidance relevant to setting defined development extents:

11. NPPF para 16d states that plans should *'contain policies that are clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals.'* Defining defined development extents through the Local Plan provides clarity around which locations are suitable for development.

12. NPPF para 75 states that 'Plans should consider the case for setting out policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens, for example where development would cause harm to the local area'. This guidance provides a justification for introducing defined development extents. The Councils have defined the extents around settlements to ensure that the amount of inappropriate development to residential gardens is minimised, therefore aligning with national policy.

13. NPPF para 83 states that *'to promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities. Planning policies should identify opportunities for villages to grow and thrive, especially where this will support local services. Where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby'*. By implementing defined development extents in the Greater Cambridge Local Plan, it will be coherent with national policy as it directs development into sustainable locations.

14. NPPF para 84 states that *'Planning policies and decisions should avoid development of isolated homes'* unless certain criteria are met. By implementing defined development extents in policy, the councils are thereby limiting the amount of isolated development in the countryside.

15. Guidance set out by the NPPF should be used by local planning authorities to set criteria for when defined development extents are identified and reviewed. The councils have used outlined guidance as mentioned above by the NPPF to identify where the built areas policies give way to policies for the countryside in Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council area and will define, through local policy S/DE, the extent to where development is suitable inside the defined development extents and any exceptions to that outside the defined development extents extent.
16. Guidance states where a policy has a spatial implication, it should be clearly defined on the Policies Map.

Local Policy Background:

17. The Adopted 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan defines development frameworks in Policy S/7 and on the adopted Policies Map.
18. The adopted policy defines development that is suitable inside and outside the framework:

Policy S/7 Development Frameworks:

19. Development and redevelopment of unallocated land and buildings within development frameworks (as shown on the policies map) will be permitted provided that:
- Development is of a scale, density and character appropriate to the location, and is consistent with other policies in the Local Plan; and
 - Retention of the site in its present state does not form an essential part of the local character, and development would protect and enhance local features of green space, landscape, ecological or historic importance; and
 - There is the necessary infrastructure capacity to support the development.
20. Outside development frameworks, no development will be permitted except for:

- Allocations within Neighbourhood Plans that have come into force and development for agriculture, horticulture, outdoor recreation and other uses which need to be located in the countryside.

Policy S/7 supporting text from South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 states:

21. Within settlements, policies in the plan generally support development and redevelopment of previously developed land subject to a range of policies which seek to ensure the development is sustainable.
22. The settlement frameworks define where policies for the built-up areas of settlements give way to policies for the countryside. In the countryside development is generally restricted to uses that need to be located there. The plan includes some flexibility for reusing existing buildings, and for development which support the rural economy.
23. Frameworks have been defined to take into account the present extent of the built-up area and planned development. Buildings associated with countryside uses are not normally included within the framework. It is important in planning terms to limit the amount of new development that can take place in rural area with few services and little or no public transport.
24. Property boundaries shown on the Ordnance Survey map have been taken into account in defining frameworks. However, since there are many large gardens on the edge of settlements, the framework boundaries can cut across gardens, where (but not solely) parts of those gardens relate more to the surrounding countryside than they do to the built-up areas.

Cambridge Local Plan (2018)

25. The adopted Cambridge Local Plan (2018) does not include a defined development extents policy given the nature of the built up area of the city and its relationship with the surrounding countryside and Green Belt. The draft Greater Cambridge Local Plan identifies that Cambridge will become part of

the Settlement Hierarchy for Greater Cambridge and as such would be additionally included into defined development extents.

Greater Cambridge Local Plan (GCLP)

26. Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council are working together on the emerging joint local plan for the two council areas. Once adopted, the Greater Cambridge Local Plan will replace both adopted 2018 Local Plans.
27. Within the First Proposals consultation of the emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan, [Policy S/SB Settlement Boundaries](#) set out a proposed policy direction and has, through the consultation, been supported in the majority of the responses received. This review includes responses to the consultation submissions from the Greater Cambridge Local Plan First Proposals consultation (2021) for the proposed Defined Development Extents policy (S/DE) where the submissions response is in regard to methodology or approach. Responses to the First Proposals consultation regarding Defined Development Extents methodology are addressed within the content of this review.
28. As set out in the First Proposals consultation, the councils considered a more flexible approach and to not include defined development extents in the emerging Local Plan, however, the Councils deemed that this alternative approach would not provide certainty regarding development proposals and could result in the gradual expansion of settlement in the countryside. The following section identifies the proposed policy direction for policy S/DE Defined Development Extents (policy previously named S/SB Settlement Boundaries at First Proposals) in the Emerging Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

Policy S/SB: Settlement Boundaries

29. Within the Greater Cambridge Local Plan First Proposals consultation, [Policy S/SB: Settlement boundaries](#) was proposed to define the extents of settlements for planning purposes.

30. The proposed policy direction states that the Greater Cambridge Local Plan will include defined development extents around settlements, to ensure that the countryside is protected from gradual encroachment and help reduce incremental growth in unsustainable locations, where locations are not good for growth based on the evidence we have, such as where villages do not grow without infrastructure in place. The focus of development will be to centre growth in more sustainable locations of the area, and defined development extents will help achieve this purpose and aid mitigation of the effects of unplanned (windfall) development. However, until planned developments have reached sufficient certainty regarding their boundaries, and they are largely completed, site allocations will be left outside of the defined development extents. Within defined development extents, a range of policies within the Local Plan will indicate what sorts of development may be suitable.

31. It was proposed that boundaries are defined to take into account the present extent of the built-up area as well as planned new development. Buildings associated with countryside uses, such as farm buildings, would not normally be included within a defined development extents. Extents would not be defined around small clusters of houses or areas of scattered development where such buildings are isolated in open countryside or detached from the main concentration of buildings within Cambridge or a nearby village.

32. Where planned developments, such as new settlements, are largely completed, new defined development extents will be drawn.

33. Development within defined development extents will need to conform with the relevant policies set out in the Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

34. The proposed defined development extents policy states development outside defined development extents will only be permitted for:

- Allocations within Neighbourhood Plans that have come into force
- Rural Exception Sites which help meet local needs for affordable housing
- Development for agriculture, horticulture, forestry, outdoor recreation, and other uses than need to be located in the countryside
- Development supported by other policy in the plan

Methodology

Approach to review of Defined Development Extents

35. In the adopted 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, policy S/7 'Development frameworks' sets out the adopted criteria for reviewing defined development extents. This review has applied the previous criteria in reviewing the defined development extents to all settlements noted in the existing Development Framework and/or Settlement Hierarchy policies of the adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan. Any proposed amendments to the defined development extents identified in this review will be consulted on as part of the draft Local Plan, with the intention that they will supersede the existing adopted defined development extents on adoption of the Greater Cambridge Local Plan.

36. The current policy criteria outlined in the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (2018) was used to assess the defined development extents, as outlined below:

37. Extents are defined to take into account the present extent of the built-up area. Buildings associated with countryside uses such as farm buildings, houses to subject to agricultural occupancy or housing schemes permitted under the rural exceptions policy are not normally included within the extents. Extents are not defined around small clusters of houses or areas of scattered

development where such buildings are isolated in open countryside or detached from the main concentration of buildings within Cambridge or a nearby village. Although it is recognised that these dwellings are considered to be part of the nearest settlement in community terms, it is important in planning policy terms to limit the amount of new development that can take place in rural areas with few services and limited public transport.

38. In reviewing and defining defined development extents, planning judgement has been applied where large gardens back onto the edge of a settlement. There are instances where the defined development extent is proposed to cut across gardens, especially (but not solely) if parts of those gardens relate more to the surrounding countryside than they do to the built-up character and form of the settlement. This is to limit the potential for further residential back garden development in smaller villages which undermines local character and built form but also to prevent development in settlements with limited facilities and public transport. However, in such circumstances the proposed defined development extents policy will not be applied to establish a presumption against the grant of planning permission for ancillary domestic buildings in those parts of residential curtilages outside of the defined development extent. Where permission is required for such developments, applications will be considered on their individual merits.

39. To ensure that the councils had conducted the most up to date and appropriate approach to reviewing defined development extents, consideration was given to how other planning authorities had conducted reviews of defined development extents for their local plan evidence base (Appendix A). These reviews determined that the criteria applied to define what was both inside or outside of the defined development extent remained logical and justified in line with both national policy and common practice elsewhere.

Review of adopted Development Framework boundaries.

40. To determine whether the current development frameworks for settlements listed in policies (S/8 to S/11) in the South Cambridgeshire District Council Local Plan (2018) were still meeting the review criteria, a desktop analysis was undertaken, with the outcomes informing the revised defined development extents.
41. Using digital mapping on ESRI GIS, the Councils were able to use the current Development Framework boundary overlaying aerial photography to identify misalignments with the built form against the established criteria. Where differences between the base mapping or photography, and boundary were identified, mapping extracts covering the area of difference were then captured as set out in Appendix C.
42. Where the adopted development framework boundary had cut across a garden or gardens, this part of the boundary was reviewed in line with the current criteria to determine whether those gardens related more to the surrounding countryside than the built-up areas. In cases where rear gardens have remained excluded outside of the defined development extents this was to prevent development that could cause incursion into the countryside and undermine the character and form of the rural edge of the settlement. The defined development extents do not necessarily reflect property boundary lines meaning that in some cases parts of smaller gardens are outside of the defined development extent. Extents were also drawn to follow vegetation cover as this forms part of the character of the wider open countryside and therefore in some instances parts of gardens were excluded. Upon review of the adopted defined development extents, a number of gardens have remained outside of the defined development extents due to their vegetation cover. Further details of this are set out below. These proposed defined development extents changes are drawn over either OS mapping or aerial photography to give a clear representation of the changes and the locations in which they are based.

43. Where the aerial photography visibility was limited, the Ordnance Survey Mastermap was used as an alternate base to review the extent of the settlement. This ensured that captures that were obtained were of high resolution and clearly identified proposed areas to review.
44. Where sites have been built out since the Regulation 18 stage (Draft Plan) maps showing these substantive changes to the defined development extent to include the sites within the boundary are now shown at Appendix D.
45. In addition, minor amendments have been made across the defined development extent boundary to better align the boundary with Ordnance Survey base mapping.

Proposed Amendments to Defined Development Extents

46. All proposed changes to the existing frameworks will be:
- derived from original survey work or aerial photography;
 - revised on the basis of on-the-ground changes;
 - published as part of the Proposed Submission Greater Cambridge Local Plan

Approach to Rural Exception sites and First Homes Exception sites

47. The adopted 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan approach does not include Rural, or First Homes, exception sites within defined development extents. It is proposed that this approach will not change, as sites for these types of affordable homes are only permitted as exceptions to policy within specific circumstances. Any inclusion within the defined development extents may enable the gradual expansion of villages into the open countryside in an uncontrolled and unplanned way.

Approach to 5-year supply sites

48. The NPPF (2012) requires the Council to maintain a 5-year land supply. This requires the Council to identify sites that can be delivered to within a rolling

five-year period. Through this defined development extents review extents are amended to include sites that materialise during this period. Until such time as they are largely completed these types of sites are left outside of the defined development extents.

Approach to Neighbourhood Plans

49. Neighbourhood Plans may include policies relating to changes to the adopted defined development extents. This review will take these into account as and when a neighbourhood plan including such changes is made.

50. Cottenham Parish Neighbourhood Plan was made (adopted) on the 20 May 2021 and identified a specific amendment to the adopted defined development extent. This has been taken into account as part of this this review and the amended neighbourhood plan defined development extent is included as part of the Cottenham defined development extents review maps at Appendix C.

Anomalies: Adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (2018) Settlement Hierarchy policy listing, and Development Frameworks spatial policy mapping

51. In reviewing the settlements listed in Settlement Hierarchy Policies (S/8 to S/11) in the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (2018) two infill villages were without settlement boundaries:

- Childerley
- Little Chishill

For the two infill villages we propose these remain without a mapped defined development extents as the settlements are dispersed dwellings, and individual buildings only.

Approach to new settlements

52. The South Cambridgeshire Adopted Local Plan (2018) supported strategic development sites at new settlements. New settlement sites will only be included once the whole site is fully built out, this applies to each of the sites

listed below (N.B. this is a change from the approach proposed at Draft Plan stage). The position on this will be reviewed through subsequent Local Plans:

- Northstowe
- Waterbeach New Town
- Bourn Airfield
- Cambourne West

New Defined Development Extent proposed for Cambridge

Cambridge

53. With the proposed inclusion of Cambridge into the Settlement Hierarchy, the area requires a Defined Development Extent. The approach taken for the Cambridge urban area will be determined by the extent of the built-up-area (BUA), with respect to any area(s) in the designated Green Belt within the BUA, to be known as the Cambridge Urban Framework.

54. Additionally, three currently adopted defined development extents areas adjoin Cambridge BUA and we propose to include these within the Cambridge Urban Framework boundary, these are:

- Cherry Hinton
- Cambridge Northern Fringe & Science Park
- Cambridge Northern Fringe & Chesterton Fen Road

55. A number of allocations and Areas of Major Change in the Cambridge Local Plan 2018 and South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 have permission and are building out. Taking a consistent approach to Defined Development Extents, such sites have only been included within the Cambridge Defined Development Extent where they are fully built out (this is a change to the approach proposed at Draft Plan stage). The exception to this is where the adopted allocation/Area of Major Change is for a site already containing development. In these cases listed below, the Cambridge Defined Development Extent includes these sites:

- Cambridge Biomedical Campus existing site (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 M15 - CBC including Addenbrooke's Hospital)
- West Cambridge site (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 M13), and
- North East Cambridge (Cambridge Local Plan 2018 15 - Cambridge Northern Fringe East and new railway station Area of Major Change)

Outside of the defined development extents

62. Outside defined development extents the local plan includes some flexibility for reusing existing buildings, for development which supports the rural economy, and for other uses which need a countryside location; or are developed through prior approval or where the NPPF provides applicable guidance.

63. We propose that no development would be permitted except for:

- allocations within Neighbourhood Plans that have come into force (made);
- Rural Exception sites (see policy approach H/ES) which help meet local needs for affordable housing;
- development for agriculture, horticulture, forestry, outdoor recreation and other uses that need to be located in the countryside; or
- development supported by other policies in the plan.

Recommendations and Outputs

64. This review has provided a proposed approach by the Councils in reviewing current adopted defined development extents. The current development frameworks which are adopted in the 2018 South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (policy S/7) were reviewed for all settlements listed in Settlement Hierarchy policies (S/8, to S/11) against the adopted development framework criteria. This criterion informed the defined development extents shown on the maps at Appendix C these were captured from the development framework policy S/7 from the South Cambridgeshire Policy Map 2018 on ESRI GIS. By identifying misalignments and split garden extents from the defined development extent in the adopted plan, this evidence will ensure that the

proposed defined development extents are robust and up to date, having taken into account any material changes. A map showing each settlement for defined development extents changes and amendments can be found at Appendix C and can also be viewed on the interactive draft Local Plan Policies Map. Where additional and new defined development extents are proposed these can be seen on the Greater Cambridge Local Plan Policies map and insets.

Appendix A

Review of Local Authority alternate approaches

Fenland District Council

Fenland District Council's document [Methodology for Defining Settlement Boundaries, May 2022](#), provides a justification for reintroducing settlement boundaries in Fenland's Local Plan. The purpose of introducing boundaries for the council was to provide clarity on where development is acceptable. The document provides insight on how the emerging plan will introduce new criteria for defining settlement boundaries, and how this criterion will be used to map all of the settlements in their settlement hierarchy. The criteria used to define their settlement boundaries sets out how it was in accordance with guidance in the NPPF and Local Plan policies. When the formulating our approach to reviewing existing defined development extents we took a similar approach as we ensured that NPPF guidance and adopted/emerging local plan policy were implemented when setting our criteria.

Peterborough

The Peterborough Local Plan Urban area and Village Boundary Review (2018) was a report produced by the council in which they considered suggestions for changes to the urban area boundary and village envelopes in Peterborough. The report made recommendations for the inclusion of identified errors in the Boundary Review in the Peterborough Local Plan. The review was part of the [Preliminary Draft Local Plan consultation in January 2016](#) where residents, landowners, agents and Parish Councils were invited to suggest changes to village envelopes. In report the focus was on minor changes to village envelope as major changes were addressed in a [Sites Evidence Report \(2018\)](#). The criteria used to assess village envelopes were used to determine the merits of change itself and didn't take into consideration the potential impacts of development. Through this review document we adopted in our strategy when reviewing defined development extents as we ensured that the potential impacts of development were considered.

East Cambridgeshire District Council

East Cambridgeshire District Council has adopted development envelopes to prevent urban sprawl into the open countryside and protect the character and setting of settlements. The council's strategy to development envelopes was introduced in the [East Cambridgeshire Local Plan \(2015\)](#), in which they developed how boundaries had changed in accordance with new allocations on the edge of towns and villages and in the light of change. A justification had also been given in relation to what they had chosen to excluded development boundaries. When reviewing defined development extents, the council undertook a similar approach in which where new allocations and developments were not included within the defined development extents then a justification for their exclusion had to be given.

Ashford Borough Council

Ashford Borough Council's document '[Adoption of village envelope boundary maps](#)', 11th April 2019, moved away from the description of the 'village envelope' or 'built-up confines' defined in written terms for various reasons; the key reasons are (none are policy related):

The NPPF published in 2012 changed the national planning policy guidance on such matters by only seeking to restrict, in principle, new development in 'isolated' locations and subsequent caselaw has reinforced this definition so that it is now clear this would not apply to locations adjoining existing settlements.

Members had also previously expressed the wish for some form of map-based definition of the 'built-up confines' in order to provide greater clarity for applicants, local residents as well as officers and members in their decision-making roles on planning applications. These maps would then sit alongside, and be in general consistency with, the written definition of built-up confines in the Local Plan.

Waveney District Council

Waveney District Council's topic paper [Definition of Settlement Boundaries \(2018\)](#) provides a background on how the council defined their Settlement Boundaries and gives a justification for the introduction of settlement boundaries in their Local Plan policy. The criteria used by the Waveney District Council to define settlement boundaries was similar to our approach as it aligned with the policies of the NPPF and those set out in their Local Plan.

Appendix B:

Development frameworks for review by Settlement Hierarchy, South Cambridgeshire Adopted Local Plan (September 2018)

Policy S/8: Rural Centres

Cambourne, Cottenham, Great Shelford and Stapleford, Histon and Impington, Sawston

Policy S/9: Minor Rural Centres

Bar Hill, Bassingbourn, Comberton, Fulbourn, Gamlingay, Linton, Melbourn, Milton, Papworth Everard, Swavesey, Waterbeach, Willingham

Policy S/10: Group Villages

Balsham, Barrington, Barton, Bourn, Castle Camps, Coton, Dry Drayton, Duxford, Elsworth, Eltisley, Fen Ditton, Fen Drayton, Fowlmere, Foxton, Great Abington, Great Wilbraham, Guilden Morden, Hardwick, Harston, Haslingfield, Hauxton, Highfields Caldecote, Little Abington, Longstanton, Meldreth, Oakington, Orwell, Over, Steeple Morden, Teversham, Thriplow, Whittlesford







Policy S/11: Infill Villages







Abington Pigotts, Arrington, Babraham, Bartlow, Boxworth, Carlton, Caxton, Childerley, Conington, Croxton, Croydon, East Hatley, Grantchester, Graveley, Great Chishill, Great Eversden, Harlton, Hatley St George, Heathfield, Heydon, Hildersham, Hinxton, Horningsea, Horseheath, Ickleton, Kingston, Knapwell, Kneesworth, Landbeach, Litlington, Little Chishill, Little Eversden, Little Gransden, Little Shelford, Little Wilbraham, Lolworth, Longstowe, Madingley, Newton, Pampisford, Papworth St Agnes, Rampton, Shepreth, Shingay-cum-Wendy, Shudy







Camps, Six Mile Bottom, Stow-cum-Quy, Streetly End, Tadlow, Toft, Weston
Colville, Weston Green, West Wickham, West Wratting, Whaddon, Wimpole







Appendix C: Mapped proposals amended defined development extents







Appendix D: Mapped proposals amended defined development extents – completed development change maps









































































(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026: Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

300 m
Scale: 1:12,000 @A4



Cottenham

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion




(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

300 m
Scale: 1:7,000 @A4

Hardwick

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion






(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

Scale: 1:11,000 @A4



Linton

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Development Framework | Addition |
| Proposed Defined Development Extent | Deletion |




(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

Scale: 1:5,000 @A4



Litlington

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion



300 m


(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

Scale: 1:4,000 @A4



Little Gransden

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion




(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

300 m
Scale: 1:9,000 @A4



Melbourn

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion




(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

300 m
Scale: 1:9,000 @A4



Meldreth

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion



(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

Scale: 1:8,000 @A4

Over



- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion




(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.




Sawston

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Development Framework | Addition |
| Proposed Defined Development Extent | Deletion |



(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824. Scale: 1:4,000 @A4




(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

Scale: 1:5,000 @A4



Whittlesford Bridge

- Development Framework
- Proposed Defined Development Extent
- Addition
- Deletion



(c) Crown copyright and database right 2026. Ordnance Survey Licence No. AC0000810824.

Scale: 1:8,000 @A4



Willingham

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Development Framework | Addition |
| Proposed Defined Development Extent | Deletion |