

Trumpington Park and Ride site, Trumpington



A map of Trumpington Park and Ride site, Trumpington

Site information	-
Site ID	115662
HELAA Site ID	40171
Suitable Site Area (ha)	8.23
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	290
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 6% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 5% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 5% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	Development could be achieved together with an improvement for the landscape character. The masterplan would need to be considerate of its neighbours by ensuring an appropriate landscape buffer with the school, John Lewis and housing.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Site adjacent to Trumpington Meadows Country Park, Byron's Pools Local Nature Reserve and the River Cam County Wildlife site. All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on these sites.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on a protected open space designation, however there are some protected open space designations on periphery of the site. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the peripheral open space designation, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site is not adjacent to any known heritage assets. Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Archaeological works have previously been completed in this area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads (M11 / Hauxton Rd - very HIGH traffic noise) but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate zoning / layout of residential, detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge, M11 North
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	74
Residential capacity at prevailing density	424
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

CPD Centre, Foster Road, Cambridge



A map of CPD Centre, Foster Road, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115672
HELAA Site ID	59387
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.5
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	67-75
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Green

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site is compatible and consistent with Development Plan policies and allocations.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	The site has areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding. Sites could contain some land in Flood Zones 2 and 3 provided there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 dwellings or 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	The site is contained by Fawcett School and its playing field to the north and east, and by suburban housing to the south and west. The eastern 20% of the site is designated as Protected open space along with the school playing field. The proposed 67-75 dwellings will result in a density of 45-50 DPH across the site. This is likely to be apartment and terrace type development similar to the adjacent Clay Farm housing. This could fit with the developing local townscape character but any development must include Public Open Space and respect the constraints of the protected open space. Development boundaries should be set back to avoid adverse effects on the primary school, its playing field and the existing housing to the west.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	No impacts upon designated sites for nature conservation. The site likely contains low ecological value with no Priority habitat. However, the trees and buildings will need to be assessed for their potential to support roosting bats.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is within 50m of protected open space or partially overlaps with protected open space. Development of the site is already permissible in line with Policy 27 (R16 - CPDC, Foster Road) of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Red
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Within 50m of Outdoor Sports Facilities. Within or partially within Amenity Green Space and Protected Open Space. Development of the site would result in a loss of open space which could not be replaced locally.
Policy RAG Rating 2023	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2023	Site includes protected open space designation. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the protected open space designation, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Extensive archaeology recorded in advance of residential development to the east, known to extend into this area.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	

Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Localised non motorised user improvements to link into existing non motorised user facilities will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The site is located deep within an existing residential area and is well away from any commercial and transport related noise sources
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	Site located outside AQMA/potential for impact on AQMA - will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	This is a brownfield site where contamination is expected and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site is an existing Local Plan Allocation and confirmation of its availability has been confirmed with the landowner
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	49
Residential capacity at prevailing density	67
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

CPDC, Foster Road (Policy 27 - R16)



A map of CPDC, Foster Road (Policy 27 - R16)

Site information	-
Site ID	115673
HELAA Site ID	OS042
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.49
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	67
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Green

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site is compatible and consistent with Development Plan policies and allocations.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The Site is part of the grounds around the Fawcett primary school and the CPDC. Part of the site on the eastern edge is part of the Protected Open Space placed over the school playing fields. It is recommended that the POS around the eastern edge of the site is preserved and a reasonable landscape buffer is placed between the proposed development and the playing fields. Unit number may need to be amended to accommodate the retention of the POS and buffer planting.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. Otherwise, unlikely to impact on designated sites. Trees and boundary hedgerow may be of some ecological value at the site level. Site likely to be of low ecological value, although buildings may support roosting bats or nesting birds if suitable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is within 50m of protected open space or partially overlaps with protected open space. Development of the site is already permissible in line with Policy 27 (R16 - CPDC, Foster Road) of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Cambridge Local Plan Site allocation R16. Part of site is protected open space. Site is adjacent to protected open space. Development of the site should have positive impact on adjacent designated site and provide high quality open space on-site as part of the residential development.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	


Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Significant archaeology of prehistoric and Roman date recorded in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is affected by School Noise but is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation eg EVCP - City
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site is an existing Local Plan Allocation but there is no evidence it is currently available.

Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Red
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has not been promoted by the landowner and or developer and therefore it is not known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Amber
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	49
Residential capacity at prevailing density	66
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Telephone Exchange and Car Park, Long Road, Cambridge



A map of Telephone Exchange and Car Park, Long Road, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115677
HELAA Site ID	40101
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.06
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	100
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Green

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site is compatible and consistent with Development Plan policies and allocations.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is currently a telephone exchange with car park. It is allocated within the Cambridge Local Plan (R14). Any forthcoming development would need to consider the context of the surrounding development and maintain vegetation wherever possible. The Allocation is for 76 units at 38dph, the proposals at this stage are for 100 units which may require reduction in to achieve the balance of context and green space.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	No direct impact on designated sites. Mature tree boundaries, surrounding gardens and proximity to playing field and open farmland means site has potential to support Protected Species and opportunity for biodiversity enhancement through appropriate landscaping.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site does not contain protected open space, but it is adjacent to or within 50m of an open space designation. Development of the site is already permissible in line with Policy 27 (R14 - BT Telephone Exchange & Car Park, Long Road) of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	


Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Not likely to have significant impact on setting of nearby locally listed buildings. Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for prehistoric and Roman activity is recorded in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	Noise from the nearby Perse School Playing Fields and all weather MUGA and Long Road traffic noise would have an unacceptable adverse impact on the development.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected and planning conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	50
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

BT Telephone Exchange & Car Park, Long Road (Policy 27 - R14)



A map of BT Telephone Exchange & Car Park, Long Road (Policy 27 - R14)

Site information	-
Site ID	115678
HELAA Site ID	OS034
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.01
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	76
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Green

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site is compatible and consistent with Development Plan policies and allocations.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is currently developed as a single large and aging block of office development with extensive surface car parking to the east and north of the building. There are TPOs within and adjacent to the site. The site has potential to link some disparate developments around it's boundaries as well as provide residential housing. It is considered that the unit numbers suit the characteristic housing development in the area. Need space for landscape buffers and additional tree planting within the development.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	New residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. No other likely impact on statutory designated sites. Long Road Plantation Woodland City Wildlife site and Trumpington Road City Wildlife site are 145m from site. Impact unlikely although boundaries/trees may contribute to ecological network. Wooded boundaries, hedgerows and trees may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Otherwise, buildings and hardstanding likely to be of low ecological value, although buildings may be suitable for roosting bats and nesting birds.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site does not contain protected open space, but it is adjacent to or within 50m of an open space designation. Development of the site is already permissible in line with Policy 27 (R14 - BT Telephone Exchange & Car Park, Long Road) of the Cambridge Local Plan 2018.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green

Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive archaeology of prehistoric and Roman date known in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	

Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	May require localised highway improvements and walking, cycling and Passenger Transport infrastructure links to Clay Farm.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise, noise from the nearby Perse School Playing Fields and all weather MUGA, Commercial / Leisure Noise (Agent for Change Principles) and Long Road traffic noise but is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation eg EVCP - City
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site is an existing Local Plan Allocation but there is no evidence it is currently available.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Red
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has not been promoted by the landowner and or developer and therefore it is not known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Amber
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	48
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Cambridge 'D' Telephone Exchange, High Street, Trumpington



A map of Cambridge 'D' Telephone Exchange, High Street, Trumpington

Site information	-
Site ID	115679
HELAA Site ID	40099
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.48
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	50
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Green

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site is compatible and consistent with Development Plan policies and allocations.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 8% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 8% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is a currently developed piece of back land behind houses along Wingate Way, Alpha Terrace and Trumpington High Street. Consideration of interlocking between proposed and existing houses needed. The scale of development must be contextual. The proposed density seems high and requires testing.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	No direct impact on designated sites. Mature surrounding gardens and proximity to open farmland means site has potential to support Protected Species and opportunity for biodiversity enhancement through appropriate landscaping.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	


Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Creating access to the site could have detrimental impact on character of Conservation Area and setting of locally listed building. Alpha Terrace section of conservation area could suffer detrimental impact depending on the scale and position of development.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	An earthwork of probable Roman date is recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	Industrial / commercial type use located within a wholly residential use area - No concerns
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	40
Residential capacity at prevailing density	19
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land west of Trumpington Road, Cambridge



A map of Land west of Trumpington Road, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115680
HELAA Site ID	40142
Suitable Site Area (ha)	18.1
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	540
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 5% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development of the site in any form would be wholly visible from the River Cam corridor and Grantchester Meadows. It would also have an urbanising effect on the currently well treed and green Trumpington Road which is one of the best presented and unspoilt approach roads into the city providing a distinctive landscape and townscape and a key corridor into Cambridge.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. A single ditch crosses the site from north to south that will require further assessment and possible mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is within 50m of a protected open space designation or partially overlaps with protected open space. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the peripheral open space designations, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for Roman activity is recorded in the vicinity.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main road but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	95.07
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	4.93
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	272
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	86
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Clare College Sports Ground, Bentley Road, Cambridge



A map of Clare College Sports Ground, Bentley Road, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115702
HELAA Site ID	48066
Suitable Site Area (ha)	7.78
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	160
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 7% is in Flood Zone 2. 2% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 10% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 10% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (7%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (3%).. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 10% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is wholly or largely within Flood Zones 2 or 3 such that it cannot accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace and/or the site is a 'dry island' whereby all potential accesses to the adopted public highway require crossing land that is within Flood Zones 2 or 3.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is located midway along the north/south green corridor penetrating the City from the south and joining the River Cam corridor at Coe Fen. It is protected open space and Green Belt. Although adjacent to a suburb of the City, the area is tranquil, rural in character and where nature is dominant. Development of the site and the access through the Hobson's Conduit / Vicar's Brook Green Corridor would do significant harm to the landscape character of this part of the City which could not be mitigated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Potential to impact on adjacent Hobson's Brook and Bentley Road Paddock and Clare Wood City wildlife sites. Boundary trees, watercourse and hedges may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Grassland quality will need to be assessed. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Red
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site contains protected open space. Development of the site would result in detrimental open space impacts that could not be reasonably mitigated locally or a loss of protected open space which could not be replaced locally.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Red
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is a formal Sports Ground / Playing Field and is protected open space. Its loss will impact on formal sports provision for the University of Cambridge/Clare College.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	

Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Cropmarks recorded to the south indicating boundaries and enclosures of probable late prehistoric date
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site does not to have a direct link to the adopted public highway.

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by industrial type noise from University Printing House and Recreational noise from Sports Ground Pavilion, and railway noise to the east. Housing immediately next to tennis club courts to north unlikely to be considered good acoustic design. Due to size of the site should be possible to mitigate noise.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within City AQMA. Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development aside from sports ground
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.

Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	163
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years

Land south of Addenbrooke's Road, Trumpington



A map of Land south of Addenbrooke's Road, Trumpington

Site information	-
Site ID	115780
HELAA Site ID	40143
Suitable Site Area (ha)	9.45
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	280
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 5% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 5% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 5% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development of this site would cause irreversible harm to the Landscape Character, setting of the City and long distance views. Reductions or alterations to the proposals are unlikely to alter this finding.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any residential development above 100 units will require consultation with Natural England. Habitats mostly likely to be of relatively low ecological value (arable) although boundary hedgerows may be Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitat and may be pockets of scrub/woodland/mosaic habitat in northern area. Potential for bat roosts in buildings in north of site (if suitable) and farmland bird populations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	

Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for prehistoric and Roman activity is recorded in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from Addenbrookes, but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed in regard to vibration/ odour/ light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA - within 1KM of Cambridge East Major Development.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected and planning conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	198
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Land North of Long Road, Cambridge, CB2 8HH



A map of Land North of Long Road, Cambridge, CB2 8HH

Site information	-
Site ID	116469
HELAA Site ID	208850
Suitable Site Area (ha)	7.08
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	Paddock / Scrub
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	5000 to 80000
Proposed residential capacity	180 to 249
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 4% is in Flood Zone 2. 24% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 29% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 2% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Landscape Comment 2026	The site forms part of the wider strategic green finger which connects the Cambridge hinterland through to the centre of Cambridge and beyond. These green fingers are an integral characteristic of Cambridge and have been given Greenbelt status to further protect their role and status. Development would be irreversibly detrimental to this purpose and could not be satisfactorily mitigated.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	The proposed development has the potential to impact statutory and non-statutory protected sites, their cited attributes, and functionally linked habitats or protected species. All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. The site lies adjacent/within Hobson's conduit & Vicar's brook City Wildlife Site to the West, and a County Wildlife Site to the east. Species records show water vole and kingfisher using Hobson's conduit / Vicar's brook CiWS. This would likely require bespoke mitigation or compensation to remove any risk of harm. A development of the type described would likely be eligible for mandatory biodiversity net gains.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is adjacent to or within 50m of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	


Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2026	The site adjoins the Barrow Road Conservation Area at its north-west corner but there is little relationship between the two. There is a grade II listed building to the east and a building of local interest to the south-east. Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on the setting of these designated heritage assets, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	Cropmarks in the area indicate settlement of probable Iron Age date. Field evaluation would be necessary in support of a planning application to determine the suitability of the site for development.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	The development will likely have a detrimental impact on the surrounding highway network. Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads will need to be mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	The site is capable of residential/mixed use development subject to appropriate site layout, design, and mitigation. All such potential issues are readily managed through standard planning conditions.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	Amber

Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	Whilst the site is not within an AQMA, the development proposed is an intensification of use. As such, an Air Quality Assessment has to be included with the planning application.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	Greenfield site with no history of previous development - negligible risk
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00

Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	212
Estimated employment space (m2)	5000 to 80000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years

Land west of High Street, Trumpington, Cambridge CB2 9LP



A map of Land west of High Street, Trumpington, Cambridge CB2 9LP

Site information	-
Site ID	116475
HELAA Site ID	208853
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.63
Ward/Parish	Trumpington
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	Paddock / Scrub
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	50 to 80
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water Flood Risk: None.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Landscape Comment 2026	The site is west of the High Street, in the green belt and forms part of the grounds of Trumpington Hall. Development of the site would introduce buildings into a key green space, blocking views towards the woodland and parkland of Trumpington Hall and would have a negative impact on landscape character.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	A development of the size and scale described would not provide any specific ecological risks to statutory or non-statutory designated sites. However, this does not remove the likelihood of protected and priority species being impacted, nor that a development of the type described would likely be eligible for mandatory biodiversity net gain.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2026	The site is within the Trumpington Conservation Area, in the wider setting of Trumpington Hall and other listed buildings on the High Street. Development of the site would cause harm to a designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated heritage asset which cannot be reasonably mitigated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	There is a report of human skeletal remains found in the vicinity in the early 20th century. Archaeological works could be secured by condition of planning permission.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	The site is capable of residential development subject to appropriate site layout, design, and mitigation. All such potential issues are readily managed through standard planning conditions.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	Whilst the site is not within an AQMA, the development proposed is an intensification of use. As such, an Air Quality Assessment has to be included with the planning application.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	Greenfield site with no history of development - very low risk
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	97.19
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	2.81
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	19
Residential capacity at prevailing density	12
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years