


Land between A428 and St Neots Road Hardwick



A map of Land between A428 and St Neots Road Hardwick

Site information	-
Site ID	115093
HELAA Site ID	40550
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.22
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to group village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building, Paddock / Scrub, Residential
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	2000 to 2500
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 18% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 49% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 32% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 32% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 49% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 18% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Landscape Comment 2026	Having considered the updated site submission details, the assessment score remains amber. The site is scrubland located in the middle of two roads. This outer village location for employment purposes appears to be suitable due to its detachment from the village. The woodland strip along St Neots Road presents an opportunity afforded to existing screening. This site is in a visibly open space and the implementation of green infrastructure will be key. An appropriate landscape strategy will also need to be implemented.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is scrubland located in the middle of two roads. This outer village location for employment purposes appears to be suitable due to its detachment from the village. The woodland strip along St Neots Road presents an opportunity afforded to existing screening. This site is in a visibly open space and the implementation of green infrastructure will be key. An appropriate landscape strategy is to be implemented

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	Having considered the submitted information, the site assessment rating remains Amber. Where there is a likelihood of protected and priority species being impacted, a full assessment must be undertaken. The proposed site may contain priority habitat which will require assessment and possible compensation if impacted.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green


Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Investigated as part of the A428 improvements
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	Having considered the updated site submission details, the assessment score remains amber. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	Since the 2021 assessment further development is expected in proximity to the site. Therefore we require additional information since previous assessments. Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads will need to be mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Non- residential use proposed.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0

Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	33
Estimated employment space (m2)	2000 - 2500
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Scotland Farm, Scotland Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Scotland Farm, Scotland Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115129
HELAA Site ID	40317a
Suitable Site Area (ha)	398.31
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield, Previously developed land
Category of site	New settlement
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to group village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	550000-600000
Proposed residential capacity	10000-11995
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 4% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zones: <1% of the site is in Flood Zone 2 and <1% of the site is in Flood Zone 3. Surface water: 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event, 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event, and 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	Additional information has been submitted including a masterplan and LVA. The masterplan indicates that substantial planting, open space and green infrastructure would help mitigate the impact of 8000 new homes on the surrounding area in terms of views and screening and provision of new planting. However, the wider impact of developing the land between Hardwick and Dry Drayton and extending built form into the open countryside towards Bar Hill would not be mitigated and so the RAG rating, in terms of impact on landscape, remains Red.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	A large site located to the south of the village of Dry Drayton. Wide and local views are high due to rolling nature of landscape and lack of intervening vegetation. Development would be permanent, an encroachment into the countryside and an urbanisation of the rural landscape. Even with a significant reduction in residential numbers and landscape mitigation measures the proposed development would still have an adverse impact to the landscape character and views.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	An Extended Phase 1 Habitat survey and detailed hedgerow survey has been carried out on the site. The assessment has confirmed that hedgerows, ponds and lowland deciduous woodland Priority habitat is present within the site. A number of further considerations will be required for Great Crested Newt, bats, Water Vole, Badger and farmland birds. Protected species licences will likely be required, as well as off-site compensation for farmland birds. The ecology report has ruled out recreational impacts of the development upon nearby SSSI's, but the scheme still requires consultation with Natural England and potentially mitigation measures will need to be introduced. A 10% net gain in biodiversity is likely to be obtained for the scheme, based on the biodiversity metric calculations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There may be areas of priority deciduous woodland within the boundaries of the sites which are registered on the 2014 National Forest registry. There also appears to be a watercourse that runs from the farm in the south to the northern boundary. The farm complex itself does have ponds that may require further assessment for protected species. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings grasslands, woodland areas, ditches, hedges and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries given the density of housing, and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green

Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The additional information suggests that harmful impacts on the wider setting of heritage assets, in particular Madingley Hall and Childerley Hall landscapes, can be mitigated by tree planting. Further detailed assessment, including taking account of conservation areas and non-designated heritage on or near the site, will be needed to confirm the location and extent of mitigation required. Therefore there is no change to the initial site assessment score.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	The Desk Based Assessment submitted suggests that evaluation is appropriate to inform a planning decision. On that basis the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber


Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive settlement of Iron Age and Roman date is recorded in the area.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, secondary school, local centre/employment provision, community centre, health centre and district centre/superstore.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. The Local Planning Authority will need to consult with the Highway Agency, as National Highway Authority, in respect to the proposed site.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the additional information provided the assessment score remains amber. The site would be dependent on delivery of the proposed Cambridge to Cambourne route. The proposed Travel Hub at Scotland Farm is located within this site therefore this land would need to be safeguarded. The site will need to provide high quality non-motorised user and enhanced Passenger Transport routes linking to Cambridge, St Neots and surrounding areas. It is likely that improvements will be needed to the A428 and junction with Scotland Road.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Capacity issues on adjacent A428 links and junction. Need for significant new Passenger Transport, cycle and walking infrastructure and links to Cambridge and A428 corridor schemes (GCP).

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The preliminary noise assessment confirms detailed assessment will be required at the detailed design stage. The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect air quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	96.85
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	3.15
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	Yes - There is an existing tenant on the site.
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning permission granted for erection of an agricultural workers dwelling. (20/03013/FUL), Planning permission granted for extension to provide additional office units and on site cafe. (20/03023/FUL), Planning permission granted for erection of a single-storey agricultural worker's dwelling. (23/03394/FUL)

When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	5975
Estimated employment space (m2)	550000-600000
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 years

Land at Girton Road, Girton



A map of Land at Girton Road, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115170
HELAA Site ID	200759
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.52
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield, Previously developed land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to minor rural centre
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building, Paddock / Scrub, Residential
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	75
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 1% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Landscape Comment 2025	The site is a paddock on the southern edge of Girton in the green belt. The site is an important part of the separation between Girton and Cambridge, and forms part of the open countryside surrounding Girton. Development would negatively impact on the character of the landscape south of Girton and erode the separation between the village and the city edge and so is not supported.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Green

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described would not provide any specific ecological risks to statutory or non-statutory designated sites. However, this does not remove the likelihood of protected and priority species being impacted. The proposed site is mapped as containing priority habitat, which will require assessment and possible compensation if removed.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	

Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	Cropmarks of probable late prehistoric or Roman enclosures are known in the vicinity. Archaeological investigations would be necessary in advance of construction.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to further detail and consultation at the planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads, but is acceptable in principle, subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Green. The site does not lie within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and therefore will have minimal traffic impact on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Potential for historic contamination. The site is likely to be capable of being developed after appropriate mitigation or remediation of contamination / ground stability issues.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	46.96
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	45.48
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	7.56
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	84
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

The Cambridgeshire Bar Hill Hotel and Golf Club, Dry Drayton, Bar Hill, Cambridge, CB23 8SR



A map of The Cambridgeshire Bar Hill Hotel and Golf Club, Dry Drayton, Bar Hill, Cambridge, CB23 8SR

Site information	-
Site ID	115190
HELAA Site ID	59381
Suitable Site Area (ha)	53.19
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield, Previously developed land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to minor rural centre
Current use(s)	Recreation
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	500-750
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 1% is in Flood Zone 2. 2% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 4% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 9% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 7% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 7% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (1%) Partly in Flood Zone 3 (2%); Surface water flooding: 7% lies in a 1 in 30 year event, 7% lies in a 1 in 100 year event and 9% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within Flood Zone 1, but has areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding. Sites could contain some land in Flood Zones 2 and 3 provided there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 dwellings or 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Landscape Comment 2025	Based on the information provided, the assessment score has changed. The information and Vision Document clarify the intentions of the developer. The retention of significant buffers from the A14 and Dry Drayton are supportable, as well as the proposed green infrastructure. Generally, the most significant constraint to consider will be conflict with the Green Belt purposes. It is considered that development could be pursued with reasonable buffer planting and landscape-led design methods.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	The site is currently used as a golf course, which provides it with a park-like appearance and which provides a buffer to the existing village of Bar Hill from the A14. Generally, the site is reasonably well screened from the surrounding areas, including the A14. Enhancement of existing landscape will be critical to any proposals. The placement of dwellings further away from the A14 in areas would be better, allowing landscaping to provide some sound and visual attenuation. The site's contribution to Greenbelt purposes provides the most significant constraint to development as a parkland setting for Bar Hill.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	

Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	Based on the additional information provided, development of the site is likely to create ecological impacts, but the impacts could be mitigated. All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. A small area of deciduous woodland priority habitat is present within the site. The golf course contains grasslands, other wooded areas, hedges and ponds, which are likely to be of ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. A small area of deciduous woodland Priority habitat is present within the site. However, the golf course contains grasslands, other wooded areas, hedges and ponds which are likely to contain ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10 % net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is adjacent to or within 50m of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on a protected open space designation, however there are some protected open space designations on the periphery of the site. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the peripheral open space designations, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated. Within 50m of Protected Open Space.

Policy RAG Rating 2023	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2023	Site includes protected open space designation. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the protected open space designation, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Based on the additional information provided, assessment of the site remains unchanged as Green. Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	There are no known heritage assets on or adjacent to this site. Therefore development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	The assessment for for the site remains unchanged as Amber. Archaeological investigations would be necessary in advance of construction.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Archaeology of Iron Age and Roman date identified during excavations to the immediate north
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	

Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	Based on the additional information provided, assessment of the site remains unchanged as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to greater detail and consultation. A significant level of infrastructure will be required outside the site boundary to encourage more sustainable transport links.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	Having considered the updated information, the overall assessment score has not changed. The site is remote from existing passenger transport infrastructure and will therefore require high-quality passenger transport links to Cambridge and links into local Non-Motorised User facilities such as the existing A1307 cycleway. The site will potentially impact on the A14 and associated junctions and local links, so a robust Travel Plan will be required to support the development of the site.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	The site is remote from existing passenger transport infrastructure and will therefore require high quality passenger transport links to Cambridge and links into local Non-Motorised User facilities such as the existing A1307 cycleway. The site will potentially impact on the A14 and associated junctions and local links and will therefore require a robust Travel Plan.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration / odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	Based on the additional information provided, assessment of the site remains unchanged as Amber. The site does not fall within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). Given the scale of the scheme, inherent/intrinsic designed-in air quality mitigation measures may be necessary to offset impacts on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The site is located outside AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	The assessment score has not been changed and remains amber. The site has the potential for historic contamination and, therefore, planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The site has the potential for historic contamination and, therefore, planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	21.16
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	37.91
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	40.93
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	798

Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	86
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 years

Sub-Department of Animal Behaviour, Madingley



A map of Sub-Department of Animal Behaviour, Madingley

Site information	-
Site ID	115216
HELAA Site ID	200777
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.39
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously developed land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to group village
Current use(s)	Education / Community
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	8-10
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event, 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event and 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Landscape Comment 2025	The site is partially within the development framework and a brownfield site. The greenbelt skirts the site. The site is well contained by treebelts both within and outside the site. Development of the site should avoid unnecessary removal of existing trees wherever possible and include additional/replacement planting to further bed any development into the context of the village.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described in this location would likely raise concerns regarding impacts to statutory protected sites. This includes increases in recreational pressure on nearby SSSIs. It also may contain priority habitat which will require assessment and possible compensation if removed.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated. the site is wholly outside an open space designation
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Amber


Historic Environment Comments 2025	This site sits within the Madingley conservation area and in the wider setting of Grade II listed 31 High Street. The current buildings on the site are low density and single storey in scale. Development of this site for a small scale development would need to be of a low density and scale to sit within the built context of the conservation area. Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	Remains relating to the medieval and post medieval settlement are recorded to the immediate south of the site. Archaeological investigations would be necessary in advance of development.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to further detail and consultation at the planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	

Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	This falls below the threshold for a Transport Assessment.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	The proposed site may be affected by noise from the nearby pub but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Green. The site does not lie within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and therefore will have minimal traffic impact on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	The site is capable of being developed as there are unlikely to be any contamination / ground stability issues
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6 to 10 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	38
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land south of Crafts Way, Bar Hill



A map of Land south of Crafts Way, Bar Hill

Site information	-
Site ID	115380
HELAA Site ID	40127
Suitable Site Area (ha)	17
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	430
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 1% is in Flood Zone 2. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 4% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (1%). Surface Water Flooding: 4% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a significant impact to the settlement pattern of Bar Hill and Dry Drayton. Development would practically amalgamate the villages and encroach significantly into the countryside. The rural buffer between the villages will be permanently removed.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the northern edge of the historic village of Dry Drayton
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Reasonably large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation. Site does not lie within an AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	71.57
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	28.43
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated. Access to the site would be via third party.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	Yes - Access to the site would be via a third party.
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	255
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Land off Cotton's Field, Dry Drayton



A map of Land off Cotton's Field, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115381
HELAA Site ID	40388
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.5
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	16
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site, if developed, would insert development off the street edge and into a large area of green space between the High Street and Park Street. As a small Group Village the scale of the potential development would alter the character of the village. However, due to the presence of acceptable access and the presence of additional separation land between the High Street and Park Street and sympathetic and village context led development may be acceptable, supported by contextual edge treatments and tree planting.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded area, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	

Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the historic core of the village
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	40
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the west of Searles Meadow, Dry Drayton



A map of Land to the west of Searles Meadow, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115382
HELAA Site ID	48058
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.05
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	10-20
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a neutral impact upon the landscape character and with careful landscape mitigation measures development would be beneficial. Typical landscape measures would include the following: existing landscape boundaries to be protected and retained both around the perimeter and within the site, development pattern to reflect the existing settlement layout, buildings to be offset from the western boundary, the existing drain to be protected and retained and heights of new build to reflect adjacent properties
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments would require consideration of residential impact on nearby and adjacent SSSIs. Boundary hedgerows, watercourses and trees may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Grassland quality will need to be assessed. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable). Great crested newt records within 500m. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the western edge of historic village
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required. Phase I likely.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	28
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the north of High Street, Dry Drayton



A map of Land to the north of High Street, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115383
HELAA Site ID	47868
Suitable Site Area (ha)	4.1
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	40-70
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is in a rural location outside the village settlement framework. Wide views are negligible and local views are filtered due to boundary hedgerows. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact upon the landscape character. It would be an encroachment into the countryside, a permanent urbanisation of the rural landscape and reduce the landscape buffer between Bar Hill and Dry Drayton. A significant reduction in residential units with landscape mitigation may be acceptable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. Boundary and adjacent hedgerows, woodland and trees may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Great crested newt records within 600m.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	


Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located to the north of the historic village core with evidence for medieval activity recorded to the south.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	98
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the west of Oakington Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Land to the west of Oakington Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115384
HELAA Site ID	40319
Suitable Site Area (ha)	34.6
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	1000
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development as proposed would have a significant adverse effect to the landscape character. It would be an encroachment into the countryside and amalgamation of two villages. Even with landscape mitigation measures the harm would be unacceptable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. The site lies adjacent to several ditches and watercourses which will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the edge of the historic core of the village adjacent to earthworks of the shrunken medieval village
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by noise from nearby industrial/commercial activities but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	99.41
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0.59
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	519
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	120
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years

Land at Park Street, Dry Drayton



A map of Land at Park Street, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115385
HELAA Site ID	40259
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.78
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	22-30
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site, if developed, would insert development off the street edge and into a large area of green space between the High Street and Park Street. As a small Group Village the scale of the potential development would alter the character of the village.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSIs. Boundary trees and hedgerows and adjacent woodland may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitats and be of ecological value. Grassland diversity will need to be assessed. Great crested newt records for site and ponds within 50m.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the eastern end of the site would have a major impact on the setting of the adjacent listed building; however, the impact could be mitigated with a carefully-considered layout, accommodating open space in this area, and appropriate landscaping measures.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Previous archaeological evaluation has identified features of archaeological significance in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous use of the site is for agricultural buildings. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	68.51
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	31.49
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	48
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the east of Oakington Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Land to the east of Oakington Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115386
HELAA Site ID	40320
Suitable Site Area (ha)	54.31
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	340000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 3% is in Flood Zone 2. 1% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 4% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 6% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (2%). Surface Water Flooding: 5% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Landscape Comment 2026	Although the boundary of the site has been revised, creating a smaller site area, no further information has been submitted that address the primary concerns raised within the previous landscape assessment. Development would harm the setting of Dry Drayton and Bar Hill through disjointed and substantial encroachment into the countryside. It is considered that the proposal would result in irreversible and substantial harm to the receiving landscape character area.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red

Landscape Comment 2021	Development would detract from the character of both villages if the site is wholly developed. The site has recently been subject to the alterations to roads and bridges as part of the A14 upgrade works and as such, the carriageway edges are very immature, open and visible. Views across the site illustrate the openness of the site and how it typifies the NCA 88. Development of the site would cause additional harm to the NCA (beyond what the roadway realignments and A14 upgrade has already done). Development along Oakington Road would begin to erode the separation of Dry Drayton and Bar Hill, held at bay currently by the golf course and few intervening fields. It is considered that the development would cause irreversible harm to the NCA/LCA despite the proximity of the A14 and related roads which bisect the site into smaller parcels.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	Having considered the updated site details, the assessment rating remains amber. Any large non-residential development over 1 ha or comprising more than 100 dwellings, or commercial development over 1000m ² , or any discharge of water or liquid waste of more than 5m ³ /day to ground via infiltration or to a surface water body will require Natural England consultation. The Beck Brook lies adjacent to part of the southern boundary and will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any livestock and poultry units above 500m ² , or general combustion processes above 50 MW will require consultation from Natural England. The Beck Brook lies adjacent to part of the southern boundary and will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2026	Having considered the updated site details, the assessment has been amended to Amber. There are heritage assets to the south and west in Madingley and Dry Drayton, however it is likely harmful impacts on their settings could be reasonably mitigated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Consideration of nearby Listed Buildings needed. Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	Having considered the updated site boundary, the assessment score remains amber. The site is located in an area of potential for prehistoric and Roman activity . Further information on the character, extent and significance of archaeology in the area would be necessary to inform any planning application for this site.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	


Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in an area of potential for prehistoric and Roman activity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	Having considered the updated site details, the assessment rating remains amber. The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. The Local Planning Authority will need to consult with the Highway Agency, as National Highway Authority, in respect to the proposed site.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads will need to be mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use. Access to and impacts on the trunk road network will require significant mitigation.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	Having considered the updated site details, the assessment rating remains amber. The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	Having considered the updated site submission details, the site remains amber due to the quantum of development proposed and the potential adverse impact on air quality. However the site may be deemed suitable for use with careful design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Partially in AQMA

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	Having considered the updated site details, the assessment rating remains green as the commercial end use remains the same.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Non-residential use proposed.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0.01
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	99.99
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	0
Residential capacity at prevailing density	3
Estimated employment space (m2)	340000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land north of Dry Drayton



A map of Land north of Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115387
HELAA Site ID	51609
Suitable Site Area (ha)	26.04
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	512
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Preservation of the rural countryside character of the small village of Dry Drayton is important. The rectilinear form of settlements should be maintained. Development of Parcel A would insert development off the street edge and into a large area of green space between the High Street and Park Street. The scale of the potential development would alter the character of the village. Views across the Parcels B and C illustrate the openness of the site and how it typifies the NCA 88. Development of these parcels would cause additional harm to the NCA (beyond what the roadway realignments and A14 upgrade has already done). Development along Oakington Road would begin to erode the separation of Dry Drayton and Bar Hill, held at bay currently by the golf course and few intervening fields.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. Boundary hedgerows, watercourses, wooded copses and grassland/mosaic habitats may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected and notable species. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Great crested newt record within site and within 100m.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	

Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in an area of potential for prehistoric and Roman activity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Reasonably large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Site adjacent to contaminated land. Potential for contamination, conditions required. Phase I likely.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	27.96
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	72.04
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	391
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	86
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Grange Farm, Huntingdon Road, Girton



A map of Grange Farm, Huntingdon Road, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115388
HELAA Site ID	40344
Suitable Site Area (ha)	6.26
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Non-residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	40794
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 7% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 7% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 4% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 3 (7%). Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	This is an irregular shaped agricultural field, with farm buildings, located within the Green Belt. To the north and east are large open agricultural fields with Beck Brook running along its northern boundary and a track to the east. To the south and west there is a linear woodland block, a new attenuation pond and the M11 beyond. Wide and local views are limited due to boundary vegetation and woodland blocks. Development would have a slight adverse effect to the landscape character. However, with landscape mitigation measures the impact could be reduced.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Unlikely to require consultation with Natural England. Boundary hedgerows may qualify as Habitat of Principal Importance/be of ecological value but could be retained. Otherwise, arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable) but mitigation likely to be feasible. Arable habitats may support farmland bird populations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	


Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	A heritage assessment should be carried out of the farm stead. There may be potential to retain the Farmhouse. Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for the Iron Age, Roman and medieval landscape survive in the vicinity.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Red
Accessibility Comment	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	Having considered the updated site details, the assessment score remains red. Access from the Dry Drayton Road roundabout would not be suitable for the scale of development proposed
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The Local Planning Authority will need to consult with the Highway Agency, as National Highway Authority, in respect to the proposed site.

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	Based on the information provided, the proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	Based on the additional information provided, the site is scored amber due to the quantum of development proposed and the proximity to major roads. The site has the potential to have an adverse impact on air quality, however the site may be deemed suitable for use with careful design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Significant portion within SCDC AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West, A14 CNB
Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and mixed-use development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	131
Estimated employment space (m2)	40794
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land off High Street, Girton



A map of Land off High Street, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115389
HELAA Site ID	40060
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.71
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	60
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 28% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 28% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 6% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 5% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 17% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 3 (28%). Surface Water Flooding: 17% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development of this site would have a significant adverse effect on the landscape and townscape setting of Girton. Removal of the hedgerow to accommodate a widened formal access would have a detrimental impact on the rural character of this historic part of the village and the setting of the adjacent Listed Building.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. Priority habitats within the site include deciduous woodland, with the Washpit Brook lying adjacent to the western boundary and grassland, hedges and wooded boundaries on site that are also likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green


Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in historic village core to the west of the medieval parish church
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	65
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Cockerton Road, Girton



A map of Cockerton Road, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115390
HELAA Site ID	40555
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.62
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	15
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 4% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site lies outside and abutting the existing village settlement. The site is an extension of Cockerton Road. Wide and local views are limited due to existing topography and boundary planting. Development upon this site would have a negligible impact to the settlement character. With landscape enhancement measures development upon this site would have a negligible impact to the settlement character.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on a protected open space designation, however there are some protected open space designations on periphery of the site. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the peripheral open space designation, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	

Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the historic village core to the north of the medieval parish church. Remains of Saxon date recorded to the immediate south.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Agricultural use. Potential contamination. Conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	92.65
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	7.35
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	18
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land at Dodford Lane, Girton



A map of Land at Dodford Lane, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115391
HELAA Site ID	40487
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.55
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	63
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 1% is in Flood Zone 2. 30% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 31% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 7% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 18% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (1%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (30%). Surface Water Flooding: 18% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	This is a medium sized grass field located to the north west of the village of Girton. Wide views are negligible, local and amenity views are high due to open nature to the east and boundary residential plots particularly to the north. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact upon the Important Countryside Frontage. Development would urbanise the land which has a strong countryside character and remove the existing rural break between existing built form.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site contains protected open space. However, given the size of the site and the scale of development, detrimental impacts could be reasonably mitigated, or the loss of the open space could be fully compensated locally as part of the site's development.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Developable area is heavily limited through necessity of maintaining the Important Countryside Frontage, which is important to the historic character and appearance of the village and the significance of non-designated heritage asset The Old Crown Public House as the surroundings in which experienced. Development elsewhere within the site risks disconnecting Grade II Listed Building No67 High Street from its rural setting, harming its significance. Consider scope in north west of site only.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in historic village core to the west of the medieval parish church
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	

Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0.38
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	99.62
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	85
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the west of Oakington Road, Girton



A map of Land to the west of Oakington Road, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115392
HELAA Site ID	40329
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.02
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	30-40
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 20% is in Flood Zone 2. 57% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 78% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 12% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 14% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 27% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (20%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (57%). Surface Water Flooding: 27% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 14% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 12% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	Additional supporting information has been submitted including a landscape and visual appraisal. However, the landscape and visual appraisal is the same as the original submission and so the RAG rating remains amber.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site, within the Green Belt, is an irregular shaped agricultural field, outside and abutting the development framework which forms an area of rural separation between the edge of the village and buildings to the north. Wide views are limited due to vegetation and local views are limited. Amenity views to the south are high. Development upon the site would have an adverse effect on the landscape character of the area; however, with careful design it may be possible to mitigate landscape impacts.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require consultation with Natural England. Watercourse (Beck Brook) may require further survey and mitigation and may support protected/notable species e.g. water vole or otter. Boundary hedgerows, woodland and mature trees may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance and/or be of high ecological value and support protected and notable species e.g. roosting bats. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value but may support farmland bird populations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is adjacent to or within 50m of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the north edge of the historic core
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	15.70
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	84.30
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	73
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Beckbrook, Oakington Road, Girton



A map of Beckbrook, Oakington Road, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115395
HELAA Site ID	49931
Suitable Site Area (ha)	10.08
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	10-250
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (17%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (83%).. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 15% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is wholly or largely within Flood Zones 2 or 3 such that it cannot accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace and/or the site is a 'dry island' whereby all potential accesses to the adopted public highway require crossing land that is within Flood Zones 2 or 3.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is in a rural location outside the settlement framework of the village of Girton. Wide and local views are limited. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact upon the landscape character. It would be detached from the village of Girton and appear congruous with the existing settlement pattern.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Unlikely to be any impact on designated sites and consultation with Natural England not required. Wooded copse, mature trees, boundary hedgerows and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats (northern area of site) likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Buildings and trees may support roosting bats (if suitable). Water vole records for Beck Brook in south of site - appropriate buffer area likely to be required.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	

Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in a landscape of late prehistoric and Roman activity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	55.74
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	44.26
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB, A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	151
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Land to the west of the M11 and north of Madingley Road, Madingley



A map of Land to the west of the M11 and north of Madingley Road, Madingley

Site information	-
Site ID	115543
HELAA Site ID	40491
Suitable Site Area (ha)	201.68
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Non-Residential.
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	200000-670000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 12% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 5% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 8% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 8% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 12% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Landscape Comment 2026	The site is a highly intact example of the Western Claylands, with long, open views from the crests of rolling hillsides, including distinctive vistas across the countryside into the city. The openness, rural character, and presence of views are key to both landscape character and the city's setting, making this landscape highly sensitive to development. Development would cause substantial and irreversible harm to these valued characteristics and sever the city's relationship with its rural hinterlands. The impacts would be unavoidable and could not be mitigated.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red

Landscape Comment 2021	The site is a very good example of the Western Claylands character type. It encompasses long views from the crests of rolling hillsides. The changing of this countryside view out to Madingley Rise and its windmill would be a significant loss to the city. Development on this site would unalterably damage the character of the area as well as cause harmful impact on the Setting of the City. The site also currently provides a buffer between the developed area of Cambridge and the hinterland beyond the motorways. The site is an important countryside feature allowing Cambridge to remain closely connected to it's rural hinterland.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	Having considered the updated information submitted, the site assessment remains the same. Any agricultural/industrial development that could cause air pollution, all general combustion, waste, and composting treatments will require consultation with Natural England. The site lies adjacent to Madingley Wood SSSI cited for being an ancient woodland and for its educational value to the University of Cambridge. There are several areas of priority deciduous woodland and numerous watercourses and drains within the boundary that will require survey and probable mitigation. A full assessment must be undertaken, and a development of the type described would likely be eligible for mandatory biodiversity net gains.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, protected habitats, priority species, or ecological assets with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any agricultural/industrial development that could cause air pollution, all general combustion, waste, and composting treatments will require consultation with Natural England. The site is 150m north of Madingley Wood SSSI cited for being an ancient woodland and for its educational value to the University of Cambridge. There are several areas of deciduous woodland that are listed on the 2014 National Forest Inventory and are likely to be classified as priority habitat. This includes a large central block of 7.4 ha. There are numerous watercourses and drains within the boundary that will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, woodland areas, ditches, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2026	Having considered the updated details, the site assessment remains red due to the potential harmful impacts to multiple designated heritage assets that could not reasonably be mitigated if the whole site were to be developed. It might be possible to develop the northern section of land.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Red


Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site is clearly visible from the American cemetery which sits on higher ground and development on the lower half of the site would potentially harm the setting of the Grade I registered park and garden and the Grade II* memorial. To the east Madingley Hall also sits on higher ground and in the winter this site is again very visible from the Hall and within the Grade II* registered garden. Development across the whole site would potentially harm the setting of these heritage assets. It might be possible to develop the northern section of land.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	Based on the new information provided, Cropmarks in the area indicate settlement of Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman date. Field evaluation would be necessary in support of a planning application to determine the suitability of the site for development.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Archaeological sites recorded in the area include a possible building of Roman date. Extensive evidence for Roman activity is recorded to the east.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. National Highways will need to be consulted in respect to the proposed site.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	Amber

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	Having considered the updated submission information, the assessment score remains amber as significant levels of mitigation will be required to overcome highway capacity issues and any detrimental impacts on the surrounding highway networks. This site will need to connect to Madingley Road. The M11 and A428 sever this area from the rest of Cambridge. An active travel bridge over the M11 is likely to be required and junction 13 will need to be upgraded for all movements. The site will need a public transport connection to Cambridge and the surrounding area. Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads will need to be mitigated.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	Having considered the updated site submission details, the assessment score remains amber. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation. Careful assessment will be required for noise impacts from the M11, and appropriate noise mitigation measures will be needed depending on the layout and the final mix of planning uses.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	This site is cross boundary but is mainly located within SCDC therefore SCDC Env Health view are a priority and should be sought. Road traffic noise levels, arising from the use of the M11, are very / relatively high along the eastern edge of the proposed site such that residential development immediately adjacent to the M11 and A428 to North and Madingley Rd to South would be extremely challenging. Development would have unacceptable adverse impacts on amenity / quality of life which are unlikely to be possible to mitigate.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	Based on the additional information provided, the site remains amber due to the quantum of development proposed and the potential adverse impact on air quality. However the site may be deemed suitable for use with careful design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Partially within SCDC AQMA and Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	Based on the additional information, the site assessment remains amber as the site comprises agricultural use with potential for contamination.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	1.15

Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	98.85
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB, M11 North
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	3025
Estimated employment space (m2)	200000 - 670000
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

North West Cambridge (NW/4)



A map of North West Cambridge (NW/4)

Site information	-
Site ID	115544
HELAA Site ID	OS022
Suitable Site Area (ha)	90.93
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge - non-Green Belt
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	100000
Proposed residential capacity	1155
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 5% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Some densification might also be possible for the areas of the site that are not so readily viewed from the west, i.e. the smaller portion of the site adjacent to Storey's Way. However care would be needed with building height and mass to avoid a discordant appearance in comparison with that already built.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Consultation with Natural England would be required for more than 50 dwellings or industry/infrastructure over 1000m ² . Former arable habitats are likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Boundary watercourses, hedgerows and grassland margins may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species such as water vole. Great Crested newt and Badgers on site. Areas provided as mitigation for existing development would need to be retained. All applications should achieve 10% net biodiversity gain to meet the NPPF, Local Plan Policy NH/4 and emerging local and UK Government Policy.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site does not contain protected open space, but it is adjacent to or within 50m of an open space designation. Development of the site is already permissible in line with the North West Cambridge Area Action Plan.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	North West Cambridge AAP Development Site. Site adjacent to protected open space and City/SCDC Green Belt. Development in line with the parameter plan is acceptable because the development will be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	

Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive excavations previously undertaken. May be some residual local impacts from development
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Must consider the context of existing planning permissions and Strategies/Policies within this area. Network is approaching capacity so critical that travel by non car mode and reduced car parking are included with contributions towards GCP/Strategic schemes will be required.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The western site area appears to already form part of Eddington. If part of intensification of extant residential Eddington approvals development could be mitigated through traffic noise insulation scheme / good acoustic design condition possible. If totally new noise sensitive residential development closer towards M11, and in the absence of any noise evidence, development likely to have significant adverse noise impact. The eastern site has a possible conflict with nearby commercial and industrial noise but this could be mitigated through design and layout.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Primarily within an AQMA. Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Large site, minor contamination likely associated with existing commercial uses, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	66.79
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	17.77
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	15.44

Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB, Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site is an existing Local Plan Allocation and confirmation of its availability has been confirmed in the Greater Cambridge Housing Trajectory and Five year Housing Land Supply
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Planning permission granted for mixed use development of 1,593 homes and supporting uses on adjoining site in Cambridge. The site in south Cambridgeshire has yet to gain planning permission.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	Land is known to be available, evidenced through the published housing trajectory. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	72
Residential capacity at prevailing density	3257
Estimated employment space (m2)	100000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	120
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years

Thorpe, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge



A map of Thorpe, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115545
HELAA Site ID	40325
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.82
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	10-70
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 5% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 9% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 18% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 18% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 9% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Wide views are low and local and amenity views are limited due to existing mature trees within the site. Development upon this site will have a slight adverse impact on the settlement character which will require mitigation including, existing trees to be protected and retained to integrate the new development, development layout to reflect the existing settlement pattern, buffer landscape planting to be included upon the southern boundary.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is adjacent to or within 50m of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	


Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Thorpe house follows the built pattern along this side of Huntingdon Road of large single houses in big plots. There has been some backland development along Bunkers Hill and the Eddington site will be built up to the southern boundary of the site. The southern part of the site could be developed on a small scale but options should be explored for the retention and re-use of the house which has some heritage merit.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for Roman settlement and associated activities is recorded within the site and from excavations to the immediate south.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment has been changed to Amber. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed.

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the additional information provided there is no change to the assessment score as localised non motorised user improvement will be required to link to existing infrastructure/facilities.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.

Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	23
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

North West Cambridge (Eddington)



A map of North West Cambridge (Eddington)

Site information	-
Site ID	115546
HELAA Site ID	56251
Suitable Site Area (ha)	149.75
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge - non-Green Belt
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	100000
Proposed residential capacity	1500
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 1% is in Flood Zone 2. Climate Change: 2% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 6% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 7% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (1%). Surface Water Flooding: 7% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Depends on the built form of the changes to the masterplan, but landscape impacts capable of being addressed by appropriate design
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSIs, LNRs and CWSs; specifically the nearby Ascension Burial Ground and Madingley Wood. Site currently under development with significant areas of ruderal vegetation with the potential to support land protected species, including scarce farmland birds, brown hare and badgers. Water voles known to be present on the Washpit Brook.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site contains protected open space. However, given the size of the site and the scale of development, detrimental impacts could be reasonably mitigated, or the loss of the open space could be fully compensated locally as part of the site's development.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	

Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman settlement is recorded in the area. Much of the site has been subject to excavation in mitigation of the development impact. Some areas on the development periphery may still require further investigation.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	This site is cross boundary therefore SCDC Environmental Health view / should also be sought. The proposals are for the densification of plots already approved within the North West Cambridge site. M11 and internal traffic noise key environmental impacts but condition should be able to mitigate against such adverse noise impacts.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Partially within SCDC AQMA (very edge) and Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Planning permission granted, any contamination to be addressed
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	50.24
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	35.41
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	14.35
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB, Cambridge, M11 North
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning granted for mixed use development of 3000 dwellings, 2000 student bedspaces, 100,000 sqm employment floorspace, academic floorspace, retail floorspace and other uses. (this proposal is for additional development beyond the numbers in this permission)

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and mixed-use development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	54
Residential capacity at prevailing density	4080
Estimated employment space (m2)	100000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	145
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Land north of Huntingdon Road, Girton



A map of Land north of Huntingdon Road, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115563
HELAA Site ID	40486
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.81
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	20
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 2% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 12% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 7% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 7% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 12% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	This is a medium sized isolated grass field located to the south west of the village of Girton. Wide and local views are limited due to road infrastructure and boundary planting. Development upon this site would have an adverse impact to the landscape character. It would be an encroachment into the countryside, permanent, urbanising the rural landscape and harmful to the village gateway or approach. The development would also be isolated and appear incongruous to the settlement of Girton.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	

Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive Roman and Saxon cemeteries are located to the south east
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site has the potential for access onto Huntingdon Road and is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Fully in AQMA, however fairly small site
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	100.00
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.

Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	49
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land east of Redgate, Girton



A map of Land east of Redgate, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115564
HELAA Site ID	40241
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.94
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge: Green Belt
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	25
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 2% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	Red
Landscape Comment 2026	Based on the updated information provided, the site assessment rating remains red. The updated information focuses on green belt policy and does not provide any supporting information that addresses the wider landscape concerns previously raised in relation to the development of this site.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	The new information includes a representation response. It is considered that the rebuttal does not alter the original assessment. The site is seeking to develop a piece of existing farmland in the greenbelt. This section of greenbelt between Histon and Girton is valued strongly for its purpose of maintaining separation between Histon, Girton and Cambridge. Development, however small, would undermine this valued rural character.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Wide and local views are limited due to boundary planting and low-lying topography. However, amenity views are high particularly from the south west of the site. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the rural landscape character. A significantly reduced development with landscape measures could be acceptable.

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	No additional information has been provided in relation to ecology impacts and mitigation and therefore there is no change to the site assessment scoring.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	


Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The additional information has not changed the view that development of this site would not impact on any heritage assets. There are none close to this site.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Not likely to have an impact on heritage assets. Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Based on the additional information provided, the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located to the south east of the historic village core
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.

Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the nature and scale of the proposed development, there are no substantial roads and transport impacts identified at this stage.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect air quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The new information has been reviewed but the assessment of the site remains unchanged. This site is considered suitable as there is no history of development.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0

Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	27
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land east of recreation ground, Girton



A map of Land east of recreation ground, Girton

Site information	-
Site ID	115565
HELAA Site ID	40194
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.52
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Minor Rural Centre
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	105
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 7% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the rural landscape character and the erosion of the separation between Girton, Histon/Impington and Cambridge.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Consultation with Natural England unlikely to be required for residential allocation. No designated sites nearby. Appears to be deciduous woodland/orchard or marshland/mosaic habitat with boundary hedgerows which may be Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitat and/or of high ecological value. Northern area of site may be of lower ecological value. Roosting bats may be present in buildings and trees (if suitable). Farmland bird populations may be present.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on a protected open space designation, however there are some protected open space designations on periphery of the site. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the peripheral open space designation, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Potential impact to wider setting of listed church. Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Finds of Iron Age and Saxon date are recorded in the vicinity.
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site does not to have a direct link to the adopted public highway.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design considerations and appropriate mitigation if necessary. There are potential noise impacts from the nearby school that will need assessment and possibly mitigated
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	85
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land at Park Lane, Dry Drayton



A map of Land at Park Lane, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115566
HELAA Site ID	40530
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.61
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	15
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 12% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 18% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development of this site, to the east of the village will introduce development into an area characteristic of the Landscape character of the area and therefore causing harm. The development of the site also would have a harmful impact on the surrounding NCA and alter the character of the village approach as well as the surrounding countryside
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSIs. Grasslands, hedges and trees on site are likely to have ecological value and may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance. Ponds in close proximity (within 250m) with known great crested newt populations. Applications may find provision of a net gain in biodiversity of a minimum of 10% difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	

Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site and its access are both key aspects of the settings of View Farm and White Cottage. Development on this site would be impossible to accommodate without compromising to a serious degree the quiet rural setting of the listed buildings, including views from and containing the buildings, and negatively affecting the historic character of this part of Dry Drayton.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the medieval village core to the east of the earthworks of the shrunken medieval village
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	17
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land on the north side of Madingley Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Land on the north side of Madingley Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115567
HELAA Site ID	55106
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.48
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential or Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	12500
Proposed residential capacity	20-30
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 64% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 20% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 14% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site would be isolated and considerably distant from the main settlement of Dry Drayton. The eastern edge of Dry Drayton plays an important role in retaining the separation between Cambridge and the village and therefore is not appropriate for development
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. Species data shows that there are great crested newts recorded in a pond to the west, there is a brook adjacent to the eastern boundary that will also require survey and probably mitigation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, woodland areas, scrub, standing trees, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Cropmarks of probable prehistoric enclosures known in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Red
Accessibility Comment	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428, A14 West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential or non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	59
Estimated employment space (m2)	12500
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the west of Scotland Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Land to the west of Scotland Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115568
HELAA Site ID	40317b
Suitable Site Area (ha)	267.16
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield, Previously Developed
Category of site	New Settlement
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	Agricultural
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	50000
Proposed residential capacity	8000
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: 1% is in Flood Zone 2. 1% is in Flood Zone 3. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	The site is mainly within Flood Zone 1, but has areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and some areas of land in Flood Zones 2 and 3. There is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 dwellings or 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	A Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Report, Prepared on behalf of Dry Drayton Estate Ltd & Hallam Land Management by Brookbanks has been submitted. The FRA relates to a wider site and includes site 40317. Based on this new information, the site assessment scoring remains Amber as although the site is largely in flood risk zone 1, it contains an area in flood zone 2/3 and areas of high/medium surface water flood risk adjacent to a watercourse and its tributaries which run the length of the site.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	Additional information has been submitted including a masterplan and LVA. The masterplan indicates that substantial planting, open space and green infrastructure would help mitigate the impact of 8000 new homes on the surrounding area in terms of views and screening and provision of new planting. However, the wider impact of developing the land between Hardwick and Dry Drayton and extending built form into the open countryside towards Bar Hill would not be mitigated and so the RAG rating, in terms of impact on landscape, remains Red.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red

Landscape Comment 2021	A large site located to the south of the village of Dry Drayton. Wide and local views are high due to rolling nature of landscape and lack of intervening vegetation. Development would be permanent, an encroachment into the countryside and an urbanisation of the rural landscape. Even with a significant reduction in residential numbers and landscape mitigation measures the proposed development would still have an adverse impact to the landscape character and views.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	An Extended Phase 1 Habitat survey and detailed hedgerow survey has been carried out on the site. The assessment has confirmed that hedgerows, ponds and lowland deciduous woodland Priority habitat is present within the site. A number of further considerations will be required for Great Crested Newt, bats, Water Vole, Badger and farmland birds. Protected species licences will likely be required, as well as off-site compensation for farmland birds. The ecology report has ruled out recreational impacts of the development upon nearby SSSI's, but the scheme still requires consultation with Natural England and potentially mitigation measures will need to be introduced. A 10% net gain in biodiversity is likely to be obtained for the scheme, based on the biodiversity metric calculations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There maybe areas of priority deciduous woodland within the boundaries of the sites which are registered on the 2014 National Forest registry. There also appears to be a watercourse that runs from the farm in the south to the northern boundary. The farm complex itself does have ponds that may require further assessment for protected species. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings grasslands, woodland areas, ditches, hedges and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries given the density of housing, and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The additional information suggests that harmful impacts on the wider setting of heritage assets, in particular Madingley Hall and Childerley Hall landscapes, can be mitigated by tree planting. Further detailed assessment, including taking account of conservation areas and non-designated heritage on or near the site, will be needed to confirm the location and extent of mitigation required. Therefore there is no change to the initial site assessment score.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.


Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	The Desk Based Assessment submitted suggests that evaluation is appropriate to inform a planning decision. On that basis the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive settlement of Iron Age and Roman date is recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, secondary school, local centre/employment provision, community centre, health centre and district centre/superstore.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. The Local Planning Authority will need to consult with the Highway Agency, as National Highway Authority, in respect to the proposed site.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the additional information provided the assessment score remains amber. The site would be dependent on delivery of the proposed Cambridge to Cambourne route. The proposed Travel Hub at Scotland Farm is located within this site therefore this land would need to be safeguarded. The site will need to provide high quality non-motorised user and enhanced Passenger Transport routes linking to Cambridge, St Neots and surrounding areas. It is likely that improvements will be needed to the A428 and junction with Scotland Road. Will require a robust Travel Plan.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The preliminary noise assessment confirms detailed assessment will be required at the detailed design stage. The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect air quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428

Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescale indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	Yes - There is an existing tenant on the site
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning permission granted for erection of an agricultural workers dwelling. (20/03013/FUL), Planning permission granted for extension to provide additional office units and on site cafe. (20/03023/FUL), Planning permission granted for erection of a single-storey agricultural worker's dwelling. (23/03394/FUL)
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	4007
Estimated employment space (m2)	50000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Scotland Farm (East & West), Scotland Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Scotland Farm (East & West), Scotland Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115569
HELAA Site ID	56252
Suitable Site Area (ha)	388.69
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	New Settlement
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	100000
Proposed residential capacity	6200
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 4% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	A Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Report, Prepared on behalf of Dry Drayton Estate Ltd & Hallam Land Management by Brookbanks has been submitted. Based on this new information, the site assessment scoring remains Amber as although the is largely in flood risk zone 1, the site contains an area in flood zone 2/3 and areas of high/medium surface water flood risk adjacent to two watercourses which cross the site.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	A Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Report, Prepared on behalf of Dry Drayton Estate Ltd & Hallam Land Management by Brookbanks has been submitted. Based on this new information, the site assessment scoring remains Amber as although the is largely in flood risk zone 1, the site contains an area in flood zone 2/3 and areas of high/medium surface water flood risk adjacent to two watercourses which cross the site.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	Additional information has been submitted including a masterplan and LVA. The masterplan indicates that substantial planting, open space and green infrastructure would help mitigate the impact of the mixed use development on the surrounding area in terms of views and screening and provision of new planting. However the wider impact of developing the land between Hardwick and Dry Drayton and extending built form into the open countryside towards Bar Hill would not be mitigated and so the RAG, in terms of impact on landscape, remains Red.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red

Landscape Comment 2021	Although not physically joining with the village of Dry Drayton it would appear as an extension to the small village and have a significant adverse impact to the settlement and landscape character. Development would be permanent, an encroachment into the countryside and an urbanisation of the rural landscape. Even with a significant reduction in residential numbers and landscape mitigation measures the proposed development would still have an adverse impact to the landscape character and views.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	An Extended Phase 1 Habitat survey and detailed hedgerow survey has been provided. The assessment has confirmed that hedgerows, ponds and lowland deciduous woodland Priority habitat is present within the site. Further consideration will be required for Protected Species. Protected species licences will likely be required, as well as off-site compensation for farmland birds. The ecology report suggests there will be no recreational impacts of the development upon nearby SSSI's, but the scheme still requires consultation with Natural England and potentially mitigation measures will need to be introduced. As a result, the scoring has been updated. A 10% net gain in biodiversity is likely to be obtained for the scheme across the wider site, based on the biodiversity metric calculations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSIs, LNRs and CWSs. Site currently agricultural land with potential to support protected species, including scarce farmland birds.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.

Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment has been taken into consideration, however the comments remain the same as there is little detail regarding heights, and therefore impact on the setting of the heritage assets, submitted. (It should also be noted that the Church of St Peter and St Paul at Dry Drayton is grade II* and not grade II as shown in the Previous Assessment.) Without more details the assessment should remain the same.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The North end of this very large site approaches Dry Drayton village and is close to listed buildings including the Grade II listed church. Development in this part of the site could cause harm to the settings of these buildings; however, the harm could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Based on the additional information provided, the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber


Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive settlement of Iron Age and Roman date is recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, secondary school, local centre/employment provision, community centre, health centre and district centre/superstore.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the additional information provided the assessment score remains amber. The site would be dependent on delivery of the proposed Cambridge to Cambourne route. The proposed Travel Hub at Scotland Farm is located within this site therefore this land would need to be safeguarded. The site will need to provide high quality non-motorised user and enhanced Passenger Transport routes linking to Cambridge, St Neots and surrounding areas. It is likely that improvements will be needed to the A428 and junction with Scotland Road. Will require a robust Travel Plan.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The preliminary noise assessment confirms detailed assessment will be required at the detailed design stage. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect air quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	96.77
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	3.23
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and mixed-use development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	5830
Estimated employment space (m2)	100000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Scotland Farm, Dry Drayton



A map of Scotland Farm, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115570
HELAA Site ID	51608
Suitable Site Area (ha)	398.31
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	New settlements
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	550000 to 600000
Proposed residential capacity	7000
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 4% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zones: <1% of the site is in Flood Zone 2. <1% of the site is in Flood Zone 3. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event. A Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Report, Prepared on behalf of: Dry Drayton Estate Ltd & Hallam Land Management By: Brookbanks has been submitted. The FRA relates to a wider site and includes site 51608. Based on this new information, the site assessment scoring remains Amber as the site contains an area at northeast side of the site, adjacent to a watercourse, at high risk of surface water flooding, which would require some form of mitigation.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	A Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment Report, Prepared on behalf of: Dry Drayton Estate Ltd & Hallam Land Management By: Brookbanks has been submitted. The FRA relates to a wider site and includes site 51608. Based on this new information, the site assessment scoring remains Amber as the site contains an area at northeast side of the site, adjacent to a watercourse, at high risk of surface water flooding, which would require some form of mitigation.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red

Landscape Comment 2023	<p>Additional information has been submitted to support the representation and which alter the scale of the development to that of a new settlement that would create an agglomeration between Bar Hill, Dry Drayton, Hardwick and Caldecote.</p> <p>The resulting development would unalterably and negatively impact the rural Landscape character of the area and therefore, based on this much larger site, the assessment scoring has been altered to Red.</p>
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	<p>This is a large site outside the Development Framework Boundary. The proposed non-residential use would be appropriate for the commercial site and surroundings. Units should be in keeping with the general low density development in the area; however, this may be difficult to achieve given the size of the site, without the implementation of landscape mitigation measures. Retention of trees and hedgerows is required, and a significant landscape buffer must be implemented along the southern boundary of the southern parcel to reduce the noise impact from the A428.</p>
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	<p>An Extended Phase 1 Habitat survey and detailed hedgerow survey has been provided. The assessment has confirmed that hedgerows, ponds and lowland deciduous woodland Priority habitat is present within the site. Further consideration will be required for Protected Species. Protected species licences will likely be required, as well as off-site compensation for farmland birds. The ecology report suggests there will be no recreational impacts of the development upon nearby SSSI's, but the scheme still requires consultation with Natural England and potentially mitigation measures will need to be introduced. A 10% net gain in biodiversity is likely to be obtained for the scheme across the wider site, based on the biodiversity metric calculations.</p>
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	<p>Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.</p>

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any development likely to result in air pollution or resulting in air pollution, large-scale composting or combustion would require consultation with Natural England. Boundary hedgerows and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protection or notable species. Arable habitats are likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Great crested newt records within 500m to south.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The amended site boundary does not alter the assessment rating previously applied as development is not considered to have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	

Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Desk Based Assessment suggests evaluation, but extensive and intensive nature of the archaeology in this area is likely to substantially constrain the potential for development. On this basis the site is now changed to Amber as whilst some limited development may be possible, there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Cropmarks show extensive multi period remains throughout the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, secondary school, local centre/employment provision, community centre, health centre and district centre/superstore.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is unacceptable. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the amended proposal the assessment score remains amber. The site will need to consider the implementation of high quality non-motorised user and enhanced Passenger Transport routes linking to Cambridge, and surrounding areas. Improvements to the A428 and local junctions would be required. Will require a robust Travel Plan.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The amended site boundary has been reviewed but the assessment remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal impact on AQMA.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The amended site boundary has been reviewed but the assessment is unchanged. This site is considered suitable as there is no history of development.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	96.85
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	3.15
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	5975
Estimated employment space (m2)	550000 to 600000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land at Burnt Farm, High Street, Madingley



A map of Land at Burnt Farm, High Street, Madingley

Site information	-
Site ID	115571
HELAA Site ID	40057
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.38
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	10-12
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 8% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 14% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	With sympathetic landscape mitigation measures development upon this site would have a neutral impact to the landscape character. Typical landscape measures would include the following: new boundary planting to be encouraged to reflect the existing settlement edge, layout to be a rural approach and reflect the existing settlement characteristics.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are ponds in the vicinity that have records of great crested newts. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	

Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on adjacent listed buildings and the conservation area. Sensitive conversion of existing farm dwellings and a small development of an appropriate scale and design might be possible.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in historic village core on site of a post medieval farm
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by noise from nearby industrial/commercial activities, but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed in regard to vibration/ odour/ light pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	11
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land at Home Farm, Cambridge Road, Madingley



A map of Land at Home Farm, Cambridge Road, Madingley

Site information	-
Site ID	115572
HELAA Site ID	40059
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.51
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m ²)	0
Proposed residential capacity	8
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 8% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 20% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The is an infill site within the settlement of Madingley. At present it is a collection of both residential and large / small agricultural buildings. Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on sensitive landscapes which could be mitigated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are hedges and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	
Policy Officer Comment 2026	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Historic Environment Comments 2021	Suitability depends on siting and scale. Adjacent to Historic Park and Garden at Madingley Hall. Need to assess impact on this and consider low level barns on site which may be non-designated heritage assets. Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in historic village core on site of a post medieval farm
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	5.85
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	94.15
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	15
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Scotland Farm, Dry Drayton



A map of Scotland Farm, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115573
HELAA Site ID	51607
Suitable Site Area (ha)	11.42
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	10000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 1% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is located to the east of Scotland Road and comprises large commercial buildings on farmland and grassland parcels divided by a drain. The proposed non-residential use would be appropriate for the commercial site and surroundings. Units should be in keeping with the general low density of surrounding development and retention of trees and hedgerows is encouraged, with additional tree planting to further reflect the local character of the area.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Unlikely to be any impact on designated sites for nature conservation. Wooded copses, boundary hedgerows and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	


Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in a landscape of known Iron Age and Roman activity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	171
Estimated employment space (m2)	10000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land to the east of Scotland Road, Dry Drayton



A map of Land to the east of Scotland Road, Dry Drayton

Site information	-
Site ID	115574
HELAA Site ID	40318
Suitable Site Area (ha)	78.53
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	New Settlement / Transport corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	2350
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 5% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	A large site located to the south of the village of Dry Drayton outside the settlement framework and within the Green Belt. Wide and local views are high due to undulating nature of landscape and lack of intervening built form. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the landscape character. It would be detached from the existing built form of Dry Drayton and appear incongruous with the existing rural landscape characteristics. Even with a reduction in residential numbers and landscape mitigation measures the harm would still be adverse.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. Any agricultural/industrial development that could cause air pollution will require consultation with Natural England. The Callow Brook runs north/south through the centre of the site and will require survey and probably mitigation, along with other ditches along the southern boundary. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries given the density of housing and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.


Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for activity of Iron Age and Roman date is recorded in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, local centre/employment provision, and community centre.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	84.04
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	15.96
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428

Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	Yes - There is an existing tenant on the site.
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	1178
Estimated employment space (m2)	
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years

Land to the north of St Neots Road, Hardwick



A map of Land to the north of St Neots Road, Hardwick

Site information	-
Site ID	115615
HELAA Site ID	40224
Suitable Site Area (ha)	4.62
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	8000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is scrubland located in the middle of two roads. This outer village location for employment purposes appears to be suitable due to its detachment from the village. The woodland strip along St Neots Road presents an opportunity afforded to existing screening. This site is in a visibly open space and the implementation of green infrastructure will be key. An appropriate landscape strategy is to be implemented
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, buildings, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	
Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	


Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Roman settlement excavated in advance of A428 road improvements
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history

When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	111
Estimated employment space (m2)	8000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Madingley Mulch, Madingley Road, Cambridge



A map of Madingley Mulch, Madingley Road, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115622
HELAA Site ID	40158
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.33
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	8,000-10,000 in conjunction with residential; 20,000 for standalone employment
Proposed residential capacity	30
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: Site is not within a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 3% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 1% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	Additional documents provide further information on the development but do not introduce any changes on previous proposals therefore the RAG assessment remains unaltered.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Generally, the site exhibits a mixed character with containment all around and an open character in the north. It is unclear whether the proposed number of units is acceptable without further detail on massing and impact of a proposal of this large nature, it is difficult to comment on mitigation and suitability. New development must reflect the existing form and scale of similar development nearby or outside of the village.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs to be considered for residential proposals. Site likely to be of relatively low ecological value, although trees and boundary hedgerows may be Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitat and have high ecological value. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site is wholly outside of protected open space. Detrimental open space impacts are not anticipated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	Green
Policy Officer Comments 2021	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	


Historic Environment Comments 2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Cropmarks of Iron Age/Roman date are located in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Amber
Accessibility Comment	Adequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	

Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	100.00
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	0
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	56
Estimated employment space (m2)	8,000-10,000 in conjunction with residential; 20,000 for standalone employment
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Eddington



A map of Eddington

Site information	-
Site ID	116247
HELAA Site ID	OS274
Suitable Site Area (ha)	90.93
Ward/Parish	Girton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield & Previously Developed
Category of site	Edge of Cambridge - non-Green Belt
Category of settlement	Edge of Cambridge
Current use(s)	Residential, Non-Residential
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	100000
Proposed residential capacity	5500-7500
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2026	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2026	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2026	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2026	Flood Zones: Site is wholly within Flood Zone 1. Climate Change: 1% is in a climate-change-adjusted flood zone. Surface Water: 5% lies in a 1-in-1,000-year event. 2% lies in a 1-in-100-year event. 3% lies in a 1-in-30-year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zones: <1% of the site is in Flood Zone 2 and <1% of the site is in Flood Zone 3. Surface water: 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event, 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event, and 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2026	
Landscape Comment 2026	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Landscape Comment 2025	The proposal seeks to intensify development within the existing permitted development footprint. Therefore, subject to careful testing and consideration of building heights and massing, there are likely to be limited impacts on the wider landscape. Existing boundary vegetation should be protected, retained and infilled where required.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2026	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	All residential developments will require consideration of impact on nearby SSSIs and City Wildlife Sites. Boundary and adjacent habitats including trees, hedgerows and adjacent woodland may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Otherwise rest of site is likely to be of low ecological value given the on-going development of the site.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, protected habitats, priority species, or ecological assets with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2026	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2026	The site does not contain protected open space, but it is adjacent to or within 50m of an open space designation. Development of the site is already permissible in line with the North West Cambridge Area Action Plan.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is within protected open space and outdoor sport facilities designations. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2023	
Policy Officer Comment 2023	
Policy RAG Rating 2021	
Policy Officer Comments 2021	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2026	
Historic Environment Comments 2026	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green

Historic Environment Comments 2025	Whilst there are a number of heritage assets beyond the site, the impact of development on them and their setting will have previously been considered as part of the outline planning permission for the site. Intensification of development within the site is not likely to have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	
Historic Environment Comments 2023	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	
Historic Environment Comments 2021	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2026	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2026	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	There are known Roman, prehistoric and other archaeological features and remains in this area. This has been considered as part of the outline planning application for the site and archaeological assessments and field surveys will continue to be required.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	
Accessibility RAG Assessment	Green
Accessibility Comment	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, secondary school, local centre/employment provision, community centre, health centre and district centre/superstore.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2026	
Site Access Officer Comment 2026	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. There are potential access constraints, but these could be overcome by development.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2026	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2026	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	<p>The site is located close to Junction 13 of the M11 which is highly congested and the level of growth allowed is limited. Planning permission has also been approved for West Cambridge and a high sustainable mode share will be required to enable this proposal to come forward. There is the possibility of linking both sites with proposed transport links. However, it should be noted that the Highway Authority cannot allow large car dominated developments in this area. The applicant must, therefore, consider sustainable links to and from the site.</p> <p>Capacity assessments will be required at local junctions and the impact of other committed/allocated sites must be considered.</p>
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2026	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	<p>The site is within close proximity of the M11 and A14. Whilst the site has planning permission, intensification of development would need to ensure it provides healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ light pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.</p>
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2026	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2026	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	Site does partly lie within an AQMA and therefore there is the potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2026	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2026	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 (% overlap)	66.79

Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 (% overlap)	17.77
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural (% overlap)	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban (% overlap)	15.44
Source Protection Zone (% overlap)	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB, Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

<p>Is there planning permission to develop the site?</p>	<p>Yes, the site benefits from planning permission for up to 3000 dwellings, up to 2000 student bedspaces, 100000 sq m employment floorspace of which up to 40000 sq m commercial floorspace (Class B1(b) and sui generis research uses) and at least 60000 sq m academic floorspace (Class D1) up to 5300 sq m gross retail floorspace (Use Classes A1 to A5) (of which the supermarket is 2000 sq m net floorspace) Senior Living up to 6500 sq m (Class C2) Community Centre Indoor Sports Provision Police Primary Health Care Primary School Nurseries (Class D1) Hotel (130 rooms) Energy Centre and associated infrastructure including roads (including adaptations to Madingley Rd and Huntingdon Rd) pedestrian cycle and vehicle routes parking drainage open spaces and earthworks (S/1886/11). Permission varied by S/2036/13/VC.</p> <p>Reserved matters approval granted for appearance, landscaping, layout and scale for Lots S1 And S2 (21/04036/REM, 22/04982/REM).</p> <p>Pending outline planning application (25/03746/OUT) with all matters reserved (except for means of access to the public highway) for a phased mixed use development, including demolition of existing buildings and structures, such development comprising: Living Uses, comprising residential floorspace (Class C3/C4, up to 3,800 dwellings), student accommodation (Sui Generis), co-living (Sui Generis) and senior living (Class C2), flexible employment floorspace (Class E(g) / Sui Generis research uses), academic floorspace (Class F1), and floorspace for supporting retail, nursery, health and indoor sports and recreation uses (Class E (a) - E (f)), and associated works and infrastructure.</p> <p>Pending outline planning application (25/03753/OUT) with all matters reserved (except for means of access to the public highway) for a phased mixed use development, including demolition of existing buildings and structures, such development comprising: Living Uses, comprising residential floorspace (Class C3/C4, up to 3,800 dwellings), student accommodation (Sui Generis), co-living (Sui Generis) and senior living (Class C2), flexible employment floorspace (Class E(g) / Sui Generis research uses), academic floorspace (Class F1), and floorspace for supporting retail, nursery, health and indoor sports and recreation uses (Class E (a) - E (f))</p>
<p>When will the site be available for development?</p>	<p>0-5 Years</p>
<p>Available RAG</p>	<p>Amber</p>
<p>Achievable</p>	<p>-</p>
<p>Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?</p>	<p>The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable.</p>
<p>Achievable RAG</p>	<p>Green</p>
<p>Capacity</p>	<p>-</p>
<p>Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)</p>	<p>72</p>
<p>Residential capacity at prevailing density</p>	<p>3257</p>
<p>Estimated employment space (m2)</p>	<p>100000</p>

Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years