


### Land north of A505 between Royston and Ashwell and Morden assessment



#### A map of Land north of A505 between Royston and Ashwell and Morden

Site information	-
Site ID	115243
HELAA Site ID	200785
Suitable Site Area (ha)	894.96
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	New Settlements
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	200000-350000
Proposed residential capacity	10000-14999
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Landscape Comment 2025	The site is agricultural land and woodland west of Royston and separates Royston from Ashwell and Morden. Development of this scale would form an extension to Royston and have a negative impact on the existing landscape. If development were to proceed, the site boundary should be reviewed to make a clearer separation or clearer link to Royston and the planning and design would need to be informed by an LVIA to mitigate impacts on the landscape character.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described has the potential to significantly impact statutory and non-statutory protected sites, their cited attributes, and functionally linked habitats or protected species. Mitigation measures may not be possible therefore the site is unacceptable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on designated sites, or those with a regional or local protection which cannot be reasonably mitigated or compensated as appropriate.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site includes several protected open space designations. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on these protected open space designations, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2025	This site is open land and forms the setting of a listed farmhouse and a number of Non Designated Heritage Assets. The quantum of development proposed would harm both the immediate and wider setting of these assets. Development of the site would cause substantial harm, or severe or significant "Less than substantial harm" to a designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated heritage asset which cannot be reasonably mitigated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-

Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities.  Proposed development would require accompanying primary school, secondary school, local centre/employment provision, community centre, health centre and district centre/superstore
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored Red based on the information provided. Consultation with Hertfordshire County Council is necessary to understand the acceptability of the vehicular access from the A505. Consultation should also be undertaken with the Cambridgeshire County Council and Network Rail. A significant level of infrastructure will be required outside the site boundary to encourage more sustainable transport links. It is unclear whether these can be achieved within the local available constraints.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	The A505 is a heavily trafficked road. The junctions around the site will be heavily impacted by development of this site. There are no sustainable transport links to existing sustainable transport infrastructure around the site. Given the scale and location of the site, it will mostly be a car-dependent development. There are currently little-to-no passenger transport options around the site. Potential issues with implementing new cycle links. The form of junction between the A505 and Royston Road required to mitigate the impact of the vehicular trips without compromising the nearby level crossing would require land outside the site. This would lead to a reliance on non-motorised modes, which would likely be difficult to implement due to land constraints.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads, but is acceptable in principle, subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site falls outside the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). However, due to the scale of the site, the number of units proposed and the location, intrinsic/inherent designed-in air quality mitigation measures and conditions may be necessary to offset impacts on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Previous agricultural land and quarry land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	45.86
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	54.14
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0

Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning permission granted for construction of a solar project. (23/01317/FUL), Application pending for conversion of existing grain barn to 1 No. dwelling. (25/00694/FUL)
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	13424
Estimated employment space (m2)	200000-350000
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 years



### Land south of Whitehall Farm, Arrington assessment



#### A map of Land south of Whitehall Farm, Arrington

Site information	-
Site ID	115818
HELAA Site ID	40024
Suitable Site Area (ha)	4.41
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	21800
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 21% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is an isolated field near the junction of the B0142 and Ermine Way. The site is open and views in from the surrounding roads extend across the site. Whilst low density farm style development on the road frontage may be suitable, the site and scale of development proposed would have significant negative impact on the landscape.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. All non-householder applications will require consultation with Natural England regarding Eversden and Wimpole Woods SAC. There are ditches to the north and west that may require further survey and mitigation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands which, given the underlying geology, could be calcareous in nature. Hedges and wooded boundaries are also on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated
Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development on this site would be potentially visible from the 16th century South Avenue to the west and so massing and scale would need to be carefully considered and further tree planting needed to prevent harm to the setting of the Grade I listed park and garden. heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated. Mitigation required to the north of the site to protect the setting of the Grade II listed Whitehall Farm Cottages.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Adjacent to line of Roman Road Ermine Street and to north of Scheduled Roman settlement
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	This falls below the threshold for a Transport Assessment
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed in regard to vibration/ odour/ light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021  AQMA RAG Assessment 2021  Ali Quality Officer Comment 2021  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025  Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023  Contaminated Land Con		
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA.  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to developement?  No relevant recent planning history  No relevant recent planning history		-
Comment 2021 therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA.  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification William Classification William Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is the re planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  No relevant recent planning history		Green
Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Worden Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available State Controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  No relevant recent planning history permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?		
Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Officer Co		-
Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Fade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Cland Classification Without Department of the State Stat		-
Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Word Agricultural Land Classification Word Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  No relevant recent planning history  Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Potential for historic contamination, conditions.  Potential for historic contamination, conditions.  Potential for historic for evel contamination.  Potential for historic for evel contamination, conditions.  Potential for historic for evel contamination.  Potential for historic for evel contamination, conditio		-
Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Red Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Won Agricultural Land Classification Won Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? When will the site be available for development?  Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Potential for historic for the set of the set		-
Officer Comments 2021  Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural And Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  No relevant recent planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?		Amber
Further constraints  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Viban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  Is the site controlled by a development?  No relevant recent planning history		Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  0  100  100  100  100  100  100  100	Overall Suitability Score	Red
Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural And Classification Viban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  O  100  O  O  South West  Available  -  The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No relevant recent planning history	Further constraints	-
Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricu	, •	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural  Agr		0
Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural  Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  O  Classification Vnon  O  South West  Available  - The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  vonership impediments to develop the site?  No relevant recent planning history  O-5 Years  available for development?	•	100
Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  O  South West  The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  No  O-5 Years		0
Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  South West  The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  O-5 Years	Classification Non	0
Highways England Zones  Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  South West  The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  No  O-5 Years	•	0
Available  Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  Is the site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  No relevant recent planning history  O-5 Years  O-5 Years	Source Protection Zone	0
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  No  O-5 Years	Highways England Zones	South West
developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?  Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  O-5 Years  Confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.  No  No  O-5 Years	Available	-
ownership impediments to development?  Is there planning permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  No relevant recent planning history  0-5 Years	developer or landowner who has expressed an	confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales
permission to develop the site?  When will the site be available for development?  0-5 Years	ownership impediments	No
available for development?	permission to develop the	No relevant recent planning history
Available RAG Green	available for	0-5 Years
	Available RAG	Green

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	21800
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land to the south-west of Ermine Way, Arrington assessment



#### $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}$ map of Land to the south-west of Ermine Way, Arrington

Site information	-
Site ID	115821
HELAA Site ID	40292
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.39
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	21
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 66% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Landscape impacts have potential to be slightly harmful in this area due to the wide views across the Ermine Way and due to the potential impact of a proposed gas pipe location in the small field to the north of the site which could potentially be highly visible due to the need for an easement free of planting. Unit numbers should be kept low to respond to the rural context.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All non-householder applications will require consultation with Natural England regarding Eversden and Wimpole Woods SAC. All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI/SAC.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development may impact on the Listed farmhouse to the east but this could be mitigated using boundary tree screening. The Milestone would need protecting during construction.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Adjacent to line of Roman Road Ermine Street
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	38
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land west of Church Lane, Croydon assessment



### A map of Land west of Church Lane, Croydon

Site information	-
Site ID	115822
HELAA Site ID	40400
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.37
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	42
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these
Plan Policies Comment 2025	could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: None
Flood Risk RAG	_
Assessment 2023 Flood Risk Officer	<u> </u>
Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Due to the particularly good views, mitigations of various types may be required to ensure that visibility is mitigated for long views towards the site and that the development can be integrated it into the village context as well as maintaining some views outwards for potential residents. It is likely that unit numbers will require adjustment to suit the rural village character of Croydon. The development must include landscape mitigation at an appropriate width to filter views from the surrounding landscape or from neighbours. Sufficient space for tree planting within the site will be required to ensure that mitigation against the slope is achieved.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI, and all new large non-residential developments, outside of current settlements in excess of 1 ha will require consultation from Natural England. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, standing trees, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have an adverse impact on the setting of the Grade II* listed church on lower ground to the south of the site. The development would also be over intensive for the character of the location. Such impacts could not be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the medieval core of the village, adjacent to the medieval parish church and earthwork remains of the shrunken village.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-

Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site does not to have a direct link to the adopted public highway.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	_
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	37
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land adjoining Tadlow House, Tadlow assessment



#### A map of Land adjoining Tadlow House, Tadlow

Site information	-
Site ID	115823
HELAA Site ID	40019
Suitable Site Area (ha)	7.74
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	80-120
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact upon the landscape character
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are potential priority habitats within the site as the wooded area to the southeast has been highlighted by Natural England and is registered on the National Forest Inventory in 2014. Other habitats found on site include grasslands and wooded boundaries and are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site is a very sensitive location being adjacent to the grade II* St Giles Church. The proposal is for housing but there is no layout in the documents. Any development w would need to have regard to the sensitivity of the setting of the listed building and how new buildings might affect that setting and therefore the significance of the listed building.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the historic core of the village between the medieval parish church and a medieval moat
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-


Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	64.21
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	35.79
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	Yes - Existing Tenancy & Pre-emption rights to adjoining landowner.
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	163
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land off High Street, Tadlow assessment



### A map of Land off High Street, Tadlow

Site information	-
Site ID	115824
HELAA Site ID	40370
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.47
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	39
Suitability	
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
2025 Flood Risk RAG	Amber
Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 16% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site comprises two linked pasture fields and lies to the south east of the narrow High Street, outside and abutting the Development Framework Boundary. This is an isolated village which is distinctly small scale and well treed. The arable farmland landscape beyond is larger scale and relatively open. The proposed development would considerably enlarge the size of this small rural village changing the tranquil and rural character of the village and the surrounding landscape.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There is an area of priority deciduous woodland within the boundary that has been registered on the 2014 National Forest Inventory. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, other wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the medieval core of the village with a hollow way recorded adjacent
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	40
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land at Sheep Walk, High Street, Tadlow assessment



### A map of Land at Sheep Walk, High Street, Tadlow

6:4- :-6	
Site information	•
Site ID	115825
HELAA Site ID	40094
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.16
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	5
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 43% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 11% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 23% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Whilst it may be possible to incorporate small scale infill development (2 to 3 dwellings) As proposed the development would need to remove existing vegetation bounding the site and allow insufficient space for any replacement landscape mitigation or private amenity landscape space.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the southern edge of the historic village core.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-

-
-
Amber
The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
-
-
-
-
Green
Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
-
-
-
-
Green
The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation
-
-
-
-
Green
Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
developer or landowner	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is
be developed?	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	5
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land south of New Road, Guilden Morden assessment



### A map of Land south of New Road, Guilden Morden

Site information	-
Site ID	116012
HELAA Site ID	40563
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.2
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	25-30
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	An irregular shaped agricultural field located to the east of the village of Guilden Morden in a rural location outside and abutting the settlement framework. Wide and local views are high due to lack of boundary vegetation. Development upon this site would have a limited impact to the settlement character subject to a sympathetic approach with landscape mitigation measures.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on edge of historic village core
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-


-
-
Amber
The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
-
-
-
-
Amber
Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
-
-
-
-
Amber
The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
-
-
-
-
Green
Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	32
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land off Dubbs Knoll Road, Guilden Morden assessment



### A map of Land off Dubbs Knoll Road, Guilden Morden

6:4- :-6	
Site information	•
Site ID	116013
HELAA Site ID	40358
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.76
Ward/Parish	The Mordens
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	90
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these
Plan Policies Comment 2025	could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer	-
Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site consists of a number of grass fields both large and small all bordered by gappy hedgerows with 2no. allotment sites. It is a rural location with several PROW's running through the site connecting Dubbs Knoll Road with Fox Hill Road. The whole site is designated as a Protected Village Amenity Area. Development would have a significant adverse impact upon the settlement character, the PVAA, views and visual amenity. Any development would be permanent and interrupt the views of the Church of St Mary, urbanise the rural open space and impact the important functions of the area, allotments, recreation and amenity role, within the village.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-