


Land at Long View Farm, 75 Denny End Road, Waterbeach assessment



A map of Land at Long View Farm, 75 Denny End Road, Waterbeach

Site information	-
Site ID	115065
HELAA Site ID	56211
Suitable Site Area (ha)	9.56
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to minor rural centre
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	150-250
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	-
Flood Risk Officer	_
Comment 2025	
Flood Risk RAG	-
Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer	-
Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	

Dolicy DAC Pating 2025	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Green
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-

Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-


Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Green
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	91.49
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	5.98
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	2.52
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	201
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75

Development completion timescales (years)

0-5 Years



Land at Rectory Farm, to the North-West of Milton assessment



A map of Land at Rectory Farm, to the North-West of Milton

Site information	-
Site ID	115167
HELAA Site ID	54906
Suitable Site Area (ha)	94.5
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to minor rural centre
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	50000-100000
Proposed residential capacity	1500
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Landscape Comment 2025	New information has been submitted in relation to the proposed new busway, which roughly dissects the site north to south. The presence of the busway does not alter previous landscape concerns greatly and arguably further degrades the rural character of the site. Previous findings continue to apply and the development of the site is likely to cause harm to the openness of the landscape character and the purposes of the Green Belt.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	Additional comments question the previous assessment scoring. In landscape terms, any development of the site would cause harm to the local openness, character and views in this area, which would be unalterable and not possible to mitigate against. Therefore there is no change to the original assessment score.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	A large site located to the north west of the village of Milton. I Wide and local views are high due to lack of intervening vegetation and built form. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the local landscape character. It would be an encroachment into the landscape, isolated, an urbanisation of the rural landscape and permanent. Even with a reduction in residential units with landscape mitigation measures the harm would still be adverse, unacceptable and incongruous with the rural landscape.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	No additional information has been provided in relation to ecology impacts and mitigation and therefore there is no change to the site assessment scoring.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any discharge of water, or liquid waste of more than 20m³ to ground a day may require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Based on the additional information provided, the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in a landscape of extensive Iron Age and Roman archaeology with finds of Roman date recorded in the area.


Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	Based on the additional information submitted, the overall assessment score has not changed. Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use. A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required. A vehicle trip budget for this allocation is expected to be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect air quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Site adjacent to contaminated land. Potential for contamination, conditions required. Phase I likely.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0

Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6 to 10 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	1417
Estimated employment space (m2)	50000-100000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	145
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 years



Land at A10 & Green End, Landbeach assessment



A map of Land at A10 & Green End, Landbeach

Site information	-
Site ID	115259
HELAA Site ID	40187
Suitable Site Area (ha)	56.11
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to group village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	225000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
2025	could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	-
Flood Risk Officer	-
Comment 2025	
Flood Risk RAG	-
Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is wholly or largely within Flood Zones 2 or 3 such that it cannot accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace and/or the site is a 'dry island' whereby all potential accesses to the adopted public highway require crossing land that is within Flood Zones 2 or 3.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the landscape character, wide and local views. It would be an encroachment into the countryside, an urbanisation of the rural landscape and be detached from the settlement of Waterbeach.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Discharge of water/waste above 20m³ per day to ground or surface water likely to require consultation with Natural England. Residential development would require consideration of recreational impacts on SSSIs. Boundary hedgerows, ditches and pond may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitat and be of high ecological value. Most of the site likely to be of low ecological value (arable). Ditches may support protected species - water vole records in the area. Pond within site may support great crested newt. Buildings and trees may support roosting bats (if suitable). Farmland and wetland bird populations may be present.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Site contains cropmarks of Roman settlement potentially of national importance
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use. A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required. A vehicle trip budget for this allocation is expected to be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	43.39
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	56.61
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning permission granted for change of use from agricultural land for the construction of an A10 bridge structure with associated earthworks embankment bridge landing, construction of a shared use route for non-motorised users between the Waterbeach Barracks site and Green End including a 3m shared footway cycleway and 2m grassed verge for equestrians and 1m grassed verge, hard and soft landscaping, lighting, construction compound and temporary haul roads. (21/04625/FUL), Planning permission granted for erection of 6 modular homes. (21/04957/FUL), Planning permission granted for construction of an A10 bridge structure with associated earthworks embankment bridge landing, construction of a shared use route for non-motorised users between the Waterbeach Barracks site and Green End including a shared footway cycleway and verge, hard and soft landscaping, construction compound and temporary haul roads. (24/03630/FUL)
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	225000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land off Butt Lane, Milton assessment



A map of Land off Butt Lane, Milton

Site information	-
Site ID	115408
HELAA Site ID	40365
Suitable Site Area (ha)	13.8
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	54000
Proposed residential capacity	405
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 11% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development throughout this site would have a significant adverse impact to the wide and local landscape character and views. It would be an encroachment into the landscape, permanent, remove existing open agricultural fields and an urbanisation of the rural countryside. Even with a reduction in commercial floor space and residential units with landscape mitigation measures the harm would still be significant and unacceptable
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any discharge of water or liquid waste of more than 20m³ to ground a day may require Natural England consultation. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Roman settlement is recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Green
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Reasonably large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	95.49
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	4.51
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and mixed-use development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.

Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	207
Estimated employment space (m2)	54000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years



Land to the east of Ely Road, Milton assessment



A map of Land to the east of Ely Road, Milton

Site information	-
Site ID	115410
HELAA Site ID	40513
Suitable Site Area (ha)	12.24
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	100
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is college of West Anglia and arable land, located to the north of Milton. Generally, the site exhibits a mixed character with containment in the south and west. The site is outside of the Development Framework Boundary and therefore in the countryside. Preservation of the rural countryside character is important, but the site would essentially see development in the countryside. Residential development is inappropriate here as the site is isolated from the village.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021 Biodiversity and Geodiversity RGA Assessment 2021 Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There is a ditch which runs adjacent to the east and southwest boundaries that will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, scrub, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% are gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies. Biodiversity Guideline Comments 2021 Policy RG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG - Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG - Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Gfficer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Gfficer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Gfficer Comment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Cfficer Comment 2021 Archaeology Cfficer Co		
Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021 Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021 Biodiversity Officer Comments 2021 Biodiversity Officer Comments 2021 Biodiversity Officer Comments 2021 Application unlikely to require Natural England consultation. There is a ditch which runs adjacent to the east and southwest boundaries that will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, scrub, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies. Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021 Policy Officer Comment Policy RAG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment Policy Environment Comments 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Biodiversity And Comments 2021 Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2024 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Cag Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Arch	Geodiversity Guideline	-
dich which runs adjacent to the east and southwest boundaries that will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, scrub, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies. Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021 Policy RAG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment 2025 Historic Environment RAG - Assessment 2025 Historic Environment RAG - Assessment 2025 Historic Environment RAG - Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2025 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Archaeology RAG - Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG - Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG - Assessment 2023 Archaeology Cfficer Comment 2021 Archaeology Cfficer Comment 2021 Archaeology Cfficer Comment 2023 Archaeology Cfficer Comment 2021 Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Amber Assessment 2025 - Officer	Geodiversity RAG	Green
Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021 Policy RAG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Development could have impact on setting of conservation area, but careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeolog	Geodiversity Officer	ditch which runs adjacent to the east and southwest boundaries that will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, scrub, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National
Policy Officer Comment 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025 Historic Environment Comments 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Development could have impact on setting of conservation area, but careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Cofficer Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer	Geodiversity Guideline	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025 Historic Environment Comments 2025 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Development could have impact on setting of conservation area, but careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Assessment 2025 - Officer	Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Assessment 2025 Historic Environment Comments 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Amber Assessment 2025 Amber Assessment 2025 Amber Assessment 2025 Amber Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Assessment 2025 - Officer Assessment 2025 - Officer		-
Comments 2025 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Development could have impact on setting of conservation area, but careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comme		-
Assessment 2023 Historic Environment Comments 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Development could have impact on setting of conservation area, but careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Gfficer Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Amber Assessment 2025 - Officer Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer - Comment 2025 - Officer Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer		-
Comments 2023 Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Development could have impact on setting of conservation area, but careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Gfficer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer		-
Assessment 2021 Historic Environment Comments 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2		-
Comments 2021 careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this. Development is unlikely to harm nearby scheduled ancient monument. Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology Officer		Amber
Assessment 2025 Archaeology Officer Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Archaeology RAG Amber Archaeology RAG Amber		careful design of access point and southern edge should avoid this.
Comment 2025 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023 Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Archaeology Officer Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer		-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023 Archaeology RAG Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer		-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Archaeology Officer Comment 2021 Extensive Roman settlement known to the east, elements of which extend into the proposal area Amber	<u> </u>	-
Assessment 2021 Archaeology Officer		-
Comment 2021 extend into the proposal area Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Assessment 2025 - Officer		Amber
Assessment 2025 - Automated Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer	0,	,
Assessment 2025 - Officer	Assessment 2025 -	Amber
	Assessment 2025 - Officer	-

Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous use of the site is for agricultural buildings. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required. Phase II likely.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	58.57
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	41.43
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	184
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Ely Road, Milton assessment



A map of Ely Road, Milton

Site information	-
Site ID	115411
HELAA Site ID	40345
Suitable Site Area (ha)	14.96
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	90
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is Cambridge Footgolf Centre located to the north of Milton. The site is outside of the Development Framework Boundary and within the Green Belt. Generally, the site exhibits a mixed character with containment only in the west and areas in the north. The eastern and southern boundaries are unbound and there will be views into the site. Development would have an adverse effect on the landscape character of the area; however, with landscape mitigation measures the impact could be reduced.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Discharge to surface or ground water of more than 20m³ per day would require consultation with Natural England. Boundary hedgerows and mature trees may be Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value. Grassland quality will need to be assessed. Habitats may support protected or notable species such as reptiles, drain may support water vole, etc. Building may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive Roman settlement known to east
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the new information provided, the assessment scoring remains Amber as the site will require high quality passenger transport and non-motorised user links to Cambridge potentially vis the Waterbeach Greenway. Will impact on the congested A10 and will be dependent on schemes as part of the A10 study.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	224
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land to the north west of Ely Road, Milton assessment



A map of Land to the north west of Ely Road, Milton

Site information	-
Site ID	115412
HELAA Site ID	40478
Suitable Site Area (ha)	16.33
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	20000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is a triangular shaped arable plot located to the north of Milton. Generally, the site exhibits a mixed character with open views from most areas. The site is outside of the Development Framework Boundary and therefore in the countryside. Preservation of the rural countryside character is important, but the site would essentially see development in the countryside.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any discharge of water or liquid waste of more than 20m³ to ground a day may require Natural England consultation. There is a ditch that runs through the southwest corner of the site that will require survey and probable mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in a landscape of extensive prehistoric and Roman archaeology
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	_
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Site adjacent to cemetery, however, non-residential use proposed.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	20000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



60-70 High Street, Landbeach assessment



A map of 60-70 High Street, Landbeach

Site information	-
Site ID	115420
HELAA Site ID	40361
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.06
Ward/Parish	Milton & Waterbeach
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	87
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site lies within the Green Belt and consists of 2no. agricultural fields to the rear of linear residential properties fronting onto High Street. Open agricultural fields lie to the west of the site. It is a rural location with wide and local views limited due to the low lying nature of land and intervening vegetation. Development would have a significant adverse impact upon the settlement character. It would be an encroachment in the landscape and an urbanisation of the rural countryside. However, development may be possible with a reduction in residential units and landscape mitigation measures to reduce this harm.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Discharge to ground or surface water of more than 20m³ per day would require consultation with Natural England. Site likely to be of low ecological value, although boundary habitats including hedgerows and mature trees may be Habitats of Principal Importance/of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats may support farmland birds.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the proposed density would be out of character with the village form here and would harm the setting of the conservation area. Development of the site would cause substantial harm, or severe or significant "Less than substantial harm" to a designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated heritage asset which cannot be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the southern part of the historic village core, ,to the south of the Scheduled medieval village earthworks.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A14 CNB
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	