

Land on north-east side of Long Lane, south of Linden House, Fowlmere assessment



A map of Land on north-east side of Long Lane, south of Linden House, Fowlmere

Site information	-
Site ID	115851
HELAA Site ID	40309
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.06
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	60
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
2025	abplication process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG	-
Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	This site is part of large agricultural field. Wide views are limited. However, local views are high due to topography and gappy boundary vegetation, The landscape impact of the proposed development would be minor adverse. Landscape mitigation needed and the form of development to reflect the existing settlement pattern and to have a rural approach.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Diadicaraity, and	All now bousing developments will require accomment of increased
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI, and any residential development above 50 outside of current urban area will require consultation with Natural England. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, wooded areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the north edge of the historic village. Extensive scheduled Iron Age settlement to north.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer	Site does not lie within an AOMA Minimal traffic impact on AOMA
Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.

Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	49
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land south of Long Lane, Fowlmere assessment



A map of Land south of Long Lane, Fowlmere

Site information	-
Site ID	115852
HELAA Site ID	59393
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.58
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	9-20
Suitability	
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 6% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	The site is an open field on the north edge of the Village, outside the Development Framework. Existing trees on the site are protected by TPOs. The site is very open and highly visible from the road and surrounding landscape and also forms part of the distinct pattern of small fields around the edge of the Village. Development would have negative impact on the landscape character and setting of the Village. 07/10/22 HS: Parish Council responses don't affect our assessment.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. The habitat to the north-west of the site has been recorded as deciduous woodland Priority habitat, but is unlikely to be affected by any proposals.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	This site forms the rural approach to Fowlmere village. The nearest listed building is Grade II Lower Farmhouse. The lower number of 9 houses proposed may be possible on this site as this number could be reasonably mitigated through landscaping to retain the rural character of the approach to the conservation area.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Located on the northern edge of the historic village core
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the nature and scale of the proposed development, there are no substantial roads and transport impacts identified at this stage.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land	The site has the potential for historic contamination and, therefore,
Officer Comments 2023	planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	17
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land adj. Telephone Exchange, Fowlmere assessment



A map of Land adj. Telephone Exchange, Fowlmere

Site information	-
Site ID	115853
HELAA Site ID	59392
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.56
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	8-17
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adapted Development	
Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: None
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	The site is a small field east of the Village and outside the Development Framework, at the corner of Cambridge road and Fowlmere Road. Development would only be acceptable with a very low density of new homes and with new planting and other landscape mitigation to screen and integrate development with the surrounding rural landscape. The Parish Council information does not affect our assessment. HS 07/10/22
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. The site is located immediately adjacent to Whittlesford - Thriplow Hommocky Fields SSSI, so Natural England will need be consulted at planning application stage. There are no apparent Priority habitats within the site. However, the grassland may contain ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	There are no known heritage assets on or adjacent to this site. Therefore development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Enclosures of probable prehisgtoric date are recorded in the vicinity
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-


Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the nature and scale of the proposed development, there are no substantial roads and transport impacts identified at this stage.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-

Contaminated Land AGA Assessment 2025 - Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 - Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 - Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 - Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 - Contaminated Land AGA Assessment 2021 - Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 - Contaminated Land Coreal Suitability Score Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 - Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 - Contaminated Land Classification Grade 2 - Contaminated Land Classification Grade 3 - Contaminated Land Classification Grade 3 - Contaminated Land Classification Grade 4 - Classification Grade 4 - Classification Grade 4 - Classification Urban - Classificatio		
Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Worden Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer and intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available For development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? This is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required. This is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required. This is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required. Amber This is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required. - Amber This is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required. - Amber This is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required. This is a site previously in agricultural and planning conditions will be required. This is a site previously in agricultural and planning conditions will be required. To the planning conditions will be required. This is a site previously in agricultural and propried and provided and previously in agricultural	Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 5 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 6 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 7 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 8 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 9 Agricultural Land Porade 9 Agricultural L		-
Officer Comments 2023 contamination and planning conditions will be required. Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Hona Glassification Forade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Hona Glassification Forade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 5 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 6 Classification Forade 7 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 8 Agricultural Land Classification Forade 9 Classificati		Amber
Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Viban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available For development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.		i i i
Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.		-
Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.		-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Vona Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Further constraints	-
Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site was usual befor development. The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.		0
Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available - Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable - Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	5	100
Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available - Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable - Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.		0
Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available - South West Available - The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Green Achievable - The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.		0
Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Available - Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Classification Non	0
Highways England Zones Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? Available RAG appropriate density. South West - The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated. No No O-5 Years The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated. No O-5 Years The site was submitted by the landowner and or development and in the timescales indicated. No The site was submitted by the landowner and or development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	5	0
Available Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated. No No O-5 Years Green The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Source Protection Zone	0
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated. No No O-5 Years The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Highways England Zones	South West
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop? Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated. No No O-5 Years The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Available	-
ownership impediments to development? Is there planning permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an	confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales
permission to develop the site? When will the site be available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	ownership impediments	No
available for development? Available RAG Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	permission to develop the	No relevant recent planning history
Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	available for	0-5 Years
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Available RAG	Green
prospect that the site will known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use be developed? value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.	Achievable	-
Achievable RAG Green	prospect that the site will be developed?	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at
	Achievable RAG	Green

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	16
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land at Herods Farm, High Street, Foxton assessment



A map of Land at Herods Farm, High Street, Foxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115885
HELAA Site ID	40148
Suitable Site Area (ha)	5
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	90
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Landscape Comment 2023	The site area has been reduced from 16.2Ha to 5Ha and the number of dwellings reduced to 90. The supporting statement includes a commitment to including open space and planted buffers to the site edges and to respecting views from local hills and the Village. Whilst the development proposal has sought to address the previous HELAA assessment, the revised development would nevertheless lead to significant encroachment into the countryside and create a form of development which does not follow any defined edges or field boundaries. This approach would form an artificial edge to the southern side of the village and would also likely have an adverse impact on the setting of the adjacent conservation area.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would be permanent, an encroachment into the countryside and urbanisation of the rural landscape. Residential units throughout the site would have a significant adverse impact to the landscape character.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

D. I	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	No additional information has been provided in relation to ecology impacts and mitigation and therefore there is no change to the site assessment scoring.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Any residential development above 100 units or industrial floorspace over 1000m ² will require consultation with Natural England. Recreational impacts also to be considered. Habitats appear likely to be of low ecological value (arable), although boundary hedgerows and adjacent woodland may be Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitat. Potential for bat roosts in building (if suitable) and farmland bird populations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The additional information proposes green space and landscape buffers to protect the setting of the countryside. Similar consideration should be given to the setting of the conservation area in order to mitigate potential harm. The assessment remains amber.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on the setting of the adjacent conservation area, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Based on the amended information provided, the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for prehistoric and Roman settlement and land use in the vicinity. Gravel digging may have removed some archaeological remains.


Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is unacceptable. If over 100 dwellings, two points of access are required to accord with the advice of the Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	If over 100 dwellings two points of access are required to accord with the advice of the Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue. The access link to the public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units that are being proposed.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the amended site boundary, the assessment score remains amber. Site is within walking and cycling distance of Foxton Station but will need to provide high quality local non motorised user routes and passenger transport routes linking to the station and Cambridge including contributions to the Melbourn Greenway. It will be dependent on significant improvements to the A10 potentially including delivery of a bridge over the railway and require a robust Travel Plan.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Green

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	New information provided has not changed the assessment. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The amended site boundary has been reviewed but the assessment remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Reasonably large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The amended site boundary has been reviewed but the assessment is unchanged. This is a site with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0

Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
L	
Achievable	-
Achievable Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? Achievable RAG	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? Achievable RAG Capacity Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density. Green -
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? Achievable RAG Capacity Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha) Residential capacity at	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density. Green - 30
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? Achievable RAG Capacity Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha) Residential capacity at prevailing density Estimated employment	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density. Green - 30
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed? Achievable RAG Capacity Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha) Residential capacity at prevailing density Estimated employment space (m2)	known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density. Green - 30 120



19a Fowlmere Road, Foxton assessment



A map of 19a Fowlmere Road, Foxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115886
HELAA Site ID	40382
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.65
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	5-10
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these
Plan Policies Comment 2025	could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG	-
Assessment 2023	
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	A small plot of amenity grassland and farm outbuildings surrounded by both trees and hedgerows. The site lies within the Green Belt and is outside and abutting the settlement framework and to the east of the village of Foxton. Wide and local views are limited due to boundary vegetation. Due to its containment, development upon this site would have negligible impact on landscape character; however, landscape mitigation works are required to prevent any harm.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs. Boundary hedgerows and trees may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Grassland diversity to be assessed but may be of low ecological value. Building may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the eastern edge of the historic settlement. Medieval moat and settlement remains recorded to north
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. The Local Highway Authority will not be seeking to adopt the proposed development.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Certificate of Lawful Development for existing use of property as a dwellinghouse
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	18
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land off Royston Road, Foxton assessment



A map of Land off Royston Road, Foxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115888
HELAA Site ID	40418
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.31
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	20
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these
Plan Policies Comment 2025	could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 42% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer	<u> </u>
Comment 2023	
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site lies outside but is adjacent to the Development Framework boundary of Foxton and forms amenity land associated with Villiers Park Educational Trust. To the north, south and west of the site are wide linear woodland blocks. The site borders the A10 to the north with agricultural fields beyond. To the south is a grass field and to the west are works. Wide views are negligible and local views are limited due to boundary vegetation. Development upon this site would have a negligible impact on the landscape character; however, landscape mitigation would be required.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are some small areas of priority woodland that have been registered on the 2014 National Forest Inventory that will require survey and possible mitigation. There are no other apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Settlement and associated features located to the north, features of prehistoric date recorded to the east.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by railway noise (and possibly vibration) but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No, application refused
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	35
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land east of Station Road, Foxton assessment



A map of Land east of Station Road, Foxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115889
HELAA Site ID	40347
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.88
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	50
Suitability	
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site consists of three grass and agricultural fields with little vegetative boundaries, outside and abutting the Development Framework Boundary and within the Green Belt. There are strategic views both in and out of the site along its eastern boundaries. Local views are high due to lack of site hedgerow boundaries. Development upon the site would have a significant adverse impact on landscape character and views. It would be an encroachment and an urbanisation into the rural landscape; however, with a significant reduction in residential units and sensitive landscape mitigation measures, the impact could be reduced.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs. Boundary hedgerow may qualify as Habitat of Principal Importance. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological importance, although may support farmland bird populations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Potential harm to setting of nearby listed buildings. The scale, layout and landscaping would determine how serious.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Extensive evidence for prehistoric and Roman settlement and land use in the vicinity.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site does not to have a direct link to the adopted public highway.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads and by railway noise (and possibly vibration) but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	69
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land to the south-east of Cambridge Road, Foxton assessment



A map of Land to the south-east of Cambridge Road, Foxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115890
HELAA Site ID	40408
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.56
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	12500
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	This is relatively large site outside the settlement of Foxton and within the Green Belt. It is a rural location and an open agricultural field with little vegetative site boundaries. Wide views and local views are high due to topography and lack of boundary vegetation. Development upon this site would have a significant impact and not reflect the settlement density characteristics. Development would urbanise the rural edge of the village.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated
Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Ring ditches of Bronze Age date and Iron Age - Roman settlement recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-


Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by railway noise (and possibly vibration) but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely law traffic impact on
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	South West
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.

Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	12500
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land to the north and east of Barrington Road, Foxton assessment



A map of Land to the north and east of Barrington Road, Foxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115891
HELAA Site ID	40412
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.74
Ward/Parish	Foxton
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	60-90
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber