

# 1 Newmarket Road, Pampisford assessment



A map of 1 Newmarket Road, Pampisford

Site information	-
Site ID	115270
HELAA Site ID	200838
Suitable Site Area (ha)	4.71
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern Cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to group village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	40-65
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Landscape Comment 2025	The site is outside of the development framework and within the greenbelt. The development areas of Sawston have already sprawled southeastwards along the High Street and Brewery Road and the site would only push this sprawl further to the southeast. The site contributes to the rural quality of the 8A: Lowland Chalklands, which would be diminished and urbanised by development. Development would have significant adverse, and permanent effects.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described would likely meet the criteria set out by Natural England that would raise concerns regarding impacts to statutory protected sites. This includes increases in recreational pressure on nearby protected sites.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-

Biodiversity and	-
Geodiversity Officer	
Comments 2021	
Biodiversity and	-
Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
	A male au
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on a protected open space designation, however there are some protected open space designations on the periphery of the site. Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on the peripheral open space designation, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated. Within 50m of Protected Open Space and within 50m of an Informal Open Space.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Site is within 100m of several listed buildings including the Grade II* listed Parish Church of St John the Baptist. Site within 100m of the Pampisford Conservation Area. To the North of the site is a scheduled ancient monument. Several non-designated heritage assets have also been identified along Beech Lane and Church Lane. Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on the setting of designated and non-designated heritage assets, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated with careful landscaping, layout, density, form and design. Minimising the impact of the development on views to the Church will be of upmost importance.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	

Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Red. The proposed access into the site from the adopted public highway is unsuitable to serve the number of units proposed.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.  The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use.  A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Green. The site does not lie within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and therefore will have minimal traffic impact on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Potential for historic contamination. The site is likely to be capable of being developed after appropriate mitigation or remediation of contamination / ground stability issues.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	98.27
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	1.73
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11/M11
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	113
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Station Road, Pampisford assessment



#### A map of Station Road, Pampisford

Cita information	
Site information	•
Site ID	115272
HELAA Site ID	200842
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.26
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield, Previously developed land
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern Cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to infill village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building, Paddock / Scrub
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	500-850
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: No area lies within land at risk of surface water flooding
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Landscape Comment 2025	Potential capacity for development of the type proposed in this confined parcel of land. Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment work should guide proposals to ensure rural character of landscape is retained. Buildings should nestle below the prevailing tree line, which a landscape strategy for the site's development should enhance.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG	-
Assessment 2021	
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	The proposed site may contain priority habitat, which will require assessment and possible compensation if removed.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-

Biodiversity and	-
Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	
Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Red. The site does not link to the adopted public highway and is therefore inaccessible to highway users.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	This falls below the threshold for a Transport Assessment
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	Owing to the proposed non-residential use of the site, whilst the proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads, the development would be acceptable in principle, subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Green. The site does not lie within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and therefore will have minimal traffic impact on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Although the site does not have any recorded contamination, it is adjacent to a site with contaminated linkages. Therefore, the site is likely to be capable of being developed after appropriate mitigation or remediation of contamination / ground stability issues.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	99.14
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0.86
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning permission granted for erection of open storage bays. (20/03009/FUL), Planning permission granted for erection of a lean-to-building for B1/B8 use - resubmission of 20/03009/FUL. (21/01936/FUL), Planning permission granted for extension to existing building to form dispatch area and covered loading/ unloading area. (22/04945/FUL), Planning permission granted for new storage unit in association with Solopark Ltd. (24/01658/FUL)
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	500-850
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Newmarket Road, Abington assessment



#### A map of Newmarket Road, Abington

Site information	-
Site ID	115273
HELAA Site ID	200843
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.57
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern Cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to infill village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building, Paddock / Scrub
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	1000-1500
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Landscape Comment 2025	The site is close to the Green Belt and surrounded by highways. The site is separate from Granta Park but close to the main entrance to Granta Park. Development would only be acceptable if the site layout and built form responds to the surrounding context, the landscape character, and retains existing boundary planting. The links and relationship to Granta Park would also need to be positively addressed.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described would not provide any specific ecological risks to statutory or non-statutory designated sites. However, this does not remove the likelihood of protected and priority species being impacted, nor that a development of the type described would likely be eligible for mandatory biodiversity net gains.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline	-
Comments 2021	
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to further detail and consultation at the planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	Owing to the proposed non-residential use of the site, whilst the proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads, the development would be acceptable in principle, subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Green. The site does not lie within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and therefore will have minimal traffic impact on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	The site is capable of being developed for the proposed non-residential uses as there are unlikely to be any contamination / ground stability issues that would significantly impact such uses.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	_
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	1000-1500
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Station Road, Pampisford assessment



### A map of Station Road, Pampisford

Site information	-
Site ID	115276
HELAA Site ID	200849
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.31
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern Cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to infill village
Current use(s)	Agricultural Land / Building, Paddock / Scrub
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	1000-3000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: No area lies within land at risk of surface water flooding
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Landscape Comment 2025	Potential capacity for development of the type proposed in this confined parcel of land. Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment work should guide proposals to ensure rural character of landscape and functions of the nearby Green Belt are retained. Buildings should nestle below the prevailing tree line, which a landscape strategy for the site's development should enhance. Existing trees should be integrated into development proposals.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	The proposed site may contain priority habitat, which will require assessment and possible compensation if removed.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Green
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site is not on protected open space designation. Any impact of the proposed development could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2025	The site is within the setting of the Pampisford Hall historic park and garden (grade II*). Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on the wider setting of the heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated through layout, massing and landscaping.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Inadequate accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to further detail and consultation at the planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-


Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use.  A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	Owing to the proposed non-residential use of the site, whilst the proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads, the development would be acceptable in principle, subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Green. The site does not lie within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and therefore will have minimal traffic impact on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	The site is capable of being developed for the proposed non-residential uses as there are unlikely to be any contamination / ground stability issues that would significantly impact such uses.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	5.47
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	94.53
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11/M11, A11
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	1000-3000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



### The Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge assessment



#### A map of The Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115725
HELAA Site ID	51604b
Suitable Site Area (ha)	39.68
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	30000
Proposed residential capacity	115
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (6%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (5%) Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	Development of the site is proposed as further R&D/Employment as housing for campus workers. Further R&D development is achievable, but the master planning of the main site must bear in mind the impact on the wider landscape. The development should be located as close as possible to the existing buildings and leave a wide landscape buffer around it (min 30m) to enable sufficient space to set new development into an appropriately designed landscape, i.e. an historic parkland landscape. Space should also be reserved between buildings for large species trees to be planted to eventually create a layered view (buildings and treetops). Floorspace maybe affected by this. Any residential development should be focused as near as possible to the High Street.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	Developments involving air pollution, composting or combustion would require consultation with Natural England. River Granta County Wildlife Site is adjacent to SW boundary (buffer area would be required). Woodland, hedgerows, grassland and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats are likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Records for bird and bat populations and (old) great crested newt record within site. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The site as it has been developed has left a 'cone' of historic parkland to the front of Babraham Hall and a garden to the rear elevation. The area to the rear is also the setting of St Peter's Church. Any additional development close by will need to ensure that it does not compromise the setting of the listed building (St Peter's Church) any further. The bulk, scale and massing of any new or replacement buildings need to be sensitive to the historic character and constraints of the local area.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Significant archaeology from previous investigations in the area. Further information regarding extent of new development and potential impacts would be required in support of a planning application.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-


Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 -	Amber
Automated	
Accessibility RAG	-
Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-

Noise, Vibration, Odour	_
and Light Pollution	
Guideline Comments 2021	
AQMA RAG Assessment	-
2025	
Air Quality Officer	-
Comment 2025	
AQMA RAG Assessment	Amber
2023	
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer	_
Comment 2021	
Contaminated Land RAG	_
Assessment 2025	
Contaminated Land	-
Officer Comments 2025	
Contaminated Land RAG	Amber
Assessment 2023	
Contaminated Land	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Officer Comments 2023	
Contaminated Land RAG	-
Assessment 2021	
Contaminated Land	-
Officer Comments 2021	
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land	0
Classification Grade 1	
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land	0
Classification Grade 4	l <sup>o</sup>
Agricultural Land	0
Classification Non	
Agricultural	
Agricultural Land	0
Classification Urban	
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11/M11
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has
developer or landowner	confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales
who has expressed an	indicated.
intention to develop?	

Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Planning permission was granted for 10,000 sqm of research and development floorspace, now built.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	0
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	595
Estimated employment space (m2)	30000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



### The Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge assessment



#### A map of The Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115726
HELAA Site ID	51604
Suitable Site Area (ha)	39.54
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Mixed Use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	30000
Proposed residential capacity	160
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (6%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (5%) Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	This site is surrounded by agricultural fields and is very rural in character. Further R&D development is achievable, but the master planning of the main site must bear in mind the impact on the wider landscape. The development should be located as close as possible to the existing and leave a wide landscape buffer around it (min 30m) to enable sufficient space to set new development into an appropriately designed landscape, i.e. an historic parkland landscape. Any residential development should be focused as near as possible to the High Street. The smaller site could be developed and designed to improve the impact it currently has on the landscape character around it. The small site should be sensitively designed to fit to its context and with a separate landscape strategy.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal has been submitted. This has changed the scoring of the site because the report provides evidence that both sites of statutory and non-statutory protection are unlikely to be impacted through both intrinsic site design (the River Granta) and unlikelihood of impact (SSSI's). Protected species mitigation is likely to be achievable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Developments involving air pollution, composting or combustion would require consultation with Natural England. River Granta County Wildlife Site is adjacent to SW boundary (buffer area would be required). Woodland, hedgerows, grassland and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats are likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Records for bird and bat populations and (old) great crested newt record within site. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The additional information has addressed the Built Heritage of the local heritage assets and their setting. The assessment is that at this stage it is not possible to be precise about the level of impact of the proposals on the heritage assets and their settings. This is agreed and therefore the RAG category remains the same.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site as it has been developed has left a 'cone' of historic parkland to the front of Babraham Hall and a garden to the rear elevation. The area to the rear is also the setting of St Peter's Church. Any additional development close by will need to ensure that it does not compromise the setting of the listed building any further. The bulk, scale and massing of any new or replacement buildings need to be sensitive to the historic character and constraints of the local area.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber


Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Based on the amended information provided, the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Amber as there is evidence of archaeology in the area that will require further investigation.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Significant archaeology from previous investigations in the area. Further information regarding extent of new development and potential impacts would be required in support of a planning application.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Following the revision to the site boundary and further consideration of the proposal, the assessment score has been amended to amber. Whilst the site is relatively close to the proposed CSET route, it will need to provide high quality local non motorised routes linking to CSET and Cambirdge, including additional Passenger Transport provision and a robust Travel Plan. There are also likely to be impacts on the A1307 which will require some form of mitigation.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	No further information provided related to envionmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as careful site layout, design and mitigation will be required to address road traffic noise.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The amended site boundary has been reviewed but the assessment remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA as it is not proposed for residential use.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The amended site boundary has been reviewed but the assessment is unchanged. This is a site with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0

Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11/M11
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Planning permission was granted for 10,000 sqm of research and development floorspace, now built. Promoter proposes additional development.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	593
Estimated employment space (m2)	30000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



### The Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge assessment



#### A map of The Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge

Site information	-
Site ID	115727
HELAA Site ID	51604a
Suitable Site Area (ha)	39.89
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Non-residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	30000
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (6%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (5%) Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	Development of the site is proposed as further R&D/Employment as well as student and key worker housing. Further R&D development is achievable, but the master planning of the main site must bear in mind the impact on the wider landscape. The development should be located as close as possible to the existing and leave a wide landscape buffer around it (min 30m) to enable sufficient space to set new development into an appropriately designed landscape, i.e. an historic parkland landscape. Space should also be reserved between buildings for large species trees to be planted to eventually create a layered view (buildings and treetops). Floorspace maybe affected by this. Any residential development should be focused as near as possible to the High Street.  The small site could be developed and designed to improve the impact it currently has on the landscape character around it. The small site should be sensitively designed to fit to its context and with a separate landscape strategy.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Developments involving air pollution, composting or combustion would require consultation with Natural England. River Granta County Wildlife Site is adjacent to SW boundary (buffer area would be required). Woodland, hedgerows, grassland and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Arable habitats are likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Records for bird and bat populations and (old) great crested newt record within site. Buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Geodiversity Guideline	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Comments 2021	The site as it has been developed has left a 'cone' of historic parkland to the front of Babraham Hall and a garden to the rear elevation. The area to the rear is also the setting of St Peter's Church. Any additional development close by will need to ensure that it does not compromise the setting of the listed building any further. The bulk, scale and massing of any new or replacement buildings need to be sensitive to the historic character and constraints of the local area.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-


Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Significant archaeology from previous investigations in the area. Further information regarding extent of new development and potential impacts would be required in support of a planning application.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A11/M11
Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Planning permission was granted for 10,000 sqm of research and development floorspace, now built.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and therefore it is available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	30000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



# Land to the south of Babraham Road and east of site H1c, Sawston assessment



#### A map of Land to the south of Babraham Road and east of site H1c, Sawston

Site information	-
Site ID	115728
HELAA Site ID	40509
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.5
Ward/Parish	Duxford
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Integrating homes and jobs - Southern cluster
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Rural Centre
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	10-70
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is outside of the Development Framework Boundary, and therefore in the countryside. Preservation of the rural countryside character is important and so boundary treatment will be important. The site is has a very open character typical of the local landscape character. Development in this location must reflect density and pattern of the existing village and landscape mitigation is required.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI, and any residential development above 50 outside of current urban area will require consultation with Natural England. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Numerous cropmarks to south. Significant prehistoric remains from adjacent investigation
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-