Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	This is a loutside and abutting the settlement framework. Wide views are limited but local and amenity views are high due to close proximity of residential plots and open / gappy hedgerows. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the landscape character. It would be an encroachment into the countryside, urbanisation of the rural landscape and not sympathetic to the existing settlement framework pattern. Limited development to the western side of the site may be acceptable with landscape mitigation.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	The representations note that a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal was previously submitted. This would have been reviewed and considered at the time of the previous assessment. As no further evidence or mitigation measures have been provided at this stage, there is no change to the assessment scoring as mitigation measures are likely to be needed to avoid any adverse impacts on ecological features and habitats.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require consideration of impact on nearby SSSIs. Boundary and adjacent habitats including trees, hedgerows and adjacent woodland may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Grassland quality will need to be assessed. Otherwise rest of site (arable) is likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland birds. Pond within site may support great crested newt (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	The additional information has not changed the RAG rating which was green. There will be no detrimental impact on any heritage assets as there are none close to the site.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the assessment for the site remains unchanged as Green as it is unlikely that there is any further archaeology likely to be found in this area.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Green


Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Archaeological work has been completed in this area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	Based on the new information provided, the site access assessment remains unchanged. The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	Phases 1 & 2 need to built out in order for Phase 3 to progress - Subject to Detailed Design
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the amendments to the proposal, the assessment score remains amber as the site is close to the alignment for C2C and will therefore need to provide high quality local non motorised user routes linking to C"C and Cambridge to Cambourne Cycleway. There are also potential impact on the A428 which may require mitigating.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Green

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	No further information provided related to envionmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect air quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0

Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, outline planning permission was granted for 140 homes (S/2510/15/OL) but has since lapsed. Only part of the site (Phase 1) was completed.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	273
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



Land east side of Highfields Road, Highfields Caldecote assessment



A map of Land east side of Highfields Road, Highfields Caldecote

Site information	-
Site ID	115610
HELAA Site ID	40017
Suitable Site Area (ha)	5.39
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	180
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	It is an isolated site detached from the settlement framework. To mitigate the effects of the development would require buffer planting around its perimeter. This would appear uncharacteristic and inappropriate. Development would therefore be a significant adverse harm to the landscape character and an encroachment into the countryside.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential development will require consideration of impacts on nearby SSSIs. Boundary and adjacent habitats including trees and hedgerows may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Otherwise rest of site (arable) is likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland birds.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	No known heritage assets on or adjacent to site. Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Middle Iron Age settlement is recorded to the west
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	Currently no direct link to the adopted public highway, however, it is likely access could be secured through adjacent development, subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	113
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



Land at Highfields (phase 3), Caldecote assessment



A map of Land at Highfields (phase 3), Caldecote

Site information	-
Site ID	115611
HELAA Site ID	51599b
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.03
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to group village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 5% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 9% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Landscape Comment 2023	Development adjoins existing permitted development, limited impacts on the wider landscape. Existing boundary vegetation to be protected, retained and infilled where required.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	All residential developments will require consideration of impact on nearby SSSIs. Boundary and adjacent habitats including trees, hedgerows and adjacent woodland may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Grassland quality will need to be assessed. Otherwise rest of site (arable) is likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland birds. Pond within site may support great crested newt (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Archaeological work has been completed in this area
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	Site does not lie within an AQMA but is in proximity to Bourn Airfield major development
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-

Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-

Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	73
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land rear of 62-84 West Drive, Caldecote assessment



A map of Land rear of 62-84 West Drive, Caldecote

Site information	-
Site ID	115612
HELAA Site ID	40155
Suitable Site Area (ha)	4.77
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield and Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	100
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development of this site would have a significant impact on the detailed, small scale landscape on the western edge of the village. It would extend development west of the existing linear village edge and join with the boundary of the Bourn Airfield development. There is some scrub and woodland screening on the western boundary, but this is thin on the north west part of the site, and the developments would be visible from each other.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs may need to be considered. Possible Habitats of Principal Importance/priority habitat and habitats of high ecological importance including boundary hedgerows and adjacent woodland. Potential for roosting bats in buildings (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	No built heritage constraints. Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in a landscape of known Iron Age and Roman activity
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-


-
-
Amber
The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
-
-
-
-
Amber
Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
-
-
-
-
Amber
The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
-
-
-
-
Green
Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	9.24
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	114
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



DB Group (Holdings) Ltd, Wellington Way, Bourn assessment



A map of DB Group (Holdings) Ltd, Wellington Way, Bourn

Site information	-
Site ID	115613
HELAA Site ID	47529
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.69
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Non-Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	11300
Proposed residential capacity	0
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 6% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 10% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	The site comprises factory and storage site on areas of hardstanding within the Bourn Airfield SPD site. The site is outside of the Development Framework Boundary and therefore in the countryside. Preservation of the rural countryside character is important. As this site is currently developed and brownfield land, it is not likely to have an adverse impact compared to if there was no development here at present. Development is to be well integrated with the local patterns of tree planting and hedgerows, hedges must be retained.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	Landfill or any development which could cause air pollution would require consultation with Natural England. Site likely to be of relatively low ecological value, although boundary woodland/trees and ponds may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value. Ponds may support great crested newt and buildings may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Evaluation has identified little of archaeological significance
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	_
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	_
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by noise from nearby industrial/commercial activities but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Not suggested for residential use therefore likely low traffic impact on AQMA
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous use of the site is as Military Land. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Planning permission granted for change of use of the site and buildings to a B2 use with ancillary B1 (a) B1 (b) and B8 use, but does not address the proposals for this site.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	-
Residential capacity at prevailing density	-
Estimated employment space (m2)	11300
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	-
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land at Bourn Airfield, south of Wellington Way, Bourn assessment



A map of Land at Bourn Airfield, south of Wellington Way, Bourn

Site information	-
Site ID	115617
HELAA Site ID	40112
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.64
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	New Settlement
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	85
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is adjacent to and surrounded on two aspects by the development of the New Village at Bourn Airfield outlined in Policy SS/7 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018. Given it's adjacency, this site could be considered a strong candidate for appending to Bourn Airfield. The site does not impact strongly on any sensitive landscape designations but should be mindful of existing development to the south and it's village edge typology and provide appropriate landscape buffering to integrate it into it's setting.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are grasslands, hedges and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site could have a detrimental impact on a designated or non-designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated or non-designated heritage asset, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Features of probable Roman date are recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site does not to have a direct link to the adopted public highway.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads and will be affected by noise from nearby industrial/commercial activities but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Application pending (resolution to grant) for 3,500 dwellings as part of a new mixed use village, but not for this specific site proposal.
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	87
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land at Highfields (phase 2), Caldecote assessment



A map of Land at Highfields (phase 2), Caldecote

Site information	-
Site ID	115618
HELAA Site ID	51599a
Suitable Site Area (ha)	6.04
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / Transport Corridor
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	64
Suitability	
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Landscape Comment 2021	Development adjoins existing permitted development, limited impacts on the wider landscape. Existing boundary vegetation to be protected, retained and infilled where required.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require consideration of impact on nearby SSSIs. Boundary and adjacent habitats including trees, hedgerows and adjacent woodland may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Grassland quality will need to be assessed. Otherwise rest of site (arable) is likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland birds. Pond within site may support great crested newt (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Archaeological work has been completed in this area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-


Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	_
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA But is in proximity to Bourn Airfield major development

Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site has a recent planning history and is therefore considered to be available for development.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and therefore it is available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	

Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	127
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land west of Fox Road, Bourn assessment



A map of Land west of Fox Road, Bourn

Site information	-
Site ID	115640
HELAA Site ID	59395
Suitable Site Area (ha)	3.38
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	30-50
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: None
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	The site is open to views across from nearby roads with a view to the spire of St Helena and St Marys Church from Fox Road. A public footpath crosses the site at a diagonal and ends ultimately at the church. The site is influenced by its adjacency to a Historic Park and Garden, a listed building, the Conservation area, and Tree Preservation Orders and falls outside of, but adjacent to the Development Framework. If development were to occur, mitigation for impacts to views would be required and setbacks from sensitive edges such as tpo woodlands and back garden fences. The footpath should be retained as a ped/cycle link to the village centre and view corridor to the church spire. The very low potential density should suit this approach.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSIs. Boundary habitat contains deciduous woodland and wood-pasture and parkland Priority habitats, which will contain high ecological value and/or support protected or notable species. Otherwise arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value but potential to support breeding and wintering populations of farmland birds.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2023	This site lies to the south of the Grade II* Bourn Hall, the Grade II Registered Park and Garden of Bourn Hall and the Scheduled Ancient Monument of the Bailey Castle, ringworks and remains of a former 17th century garden. The description of the Park Garden includes the views from the park to the south are of open agricultural fields. This site forms the setting to both the Hall and its gardens and the Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) and residential development of 30-50 houses has the potential to harm that setting and significance of these heritage assets. Development of the site would cause substantial harm, or severe or significant "Less than substantial harm" to a designated heritage asset or the setting of a designated heritage asset which cannot be reasonably mitigated.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Red
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Site borders Bourn Hall, the nationally important site of a ringwork and bailey castle and 17th century formal garden

Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle, subject to detailed design at a planning application stage.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Based on the nature and scale of the proposed development, there are no substantial roads and transport impacts identified at this stage.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. Detailed site specific assessments will be required for any future planning applications at this location.

Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021 Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021 AGMA RAG Assessment 2025 AIr Quality Officer comment 2025 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 ACMA RAG Assessment 2023 ACMA RAG Assessment 2023 ACMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer comment 2023 ACMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer comment 2021 Air Quality Officer comment 2021 Air Quality Officer comment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Contaminated		
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021 AQMA RAG Assessment 2025 AQMA RAG Assessment 2025 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 Air Quality Officer - Comment 2023 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 AIr Quality Officer - Comment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Lan	and Light Pollution RAG	
Air Quality Officer comment 2025 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land AGG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Red Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 5 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 6 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 7 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 8 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 9 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone 0 Highways England Zones A428	Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution	-
Comment 2025 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 Air Quality Officer Comment 2023 Air Quality Officer Comment 2023 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Red Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural And Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Green The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA. The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA The site does not lie within an AQMA and there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA Agman AQMA And there will be minimal traffic impact on AQMA Amber Amber	AQMA RAG Assessment	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessiment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessiment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessiment 2021 Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Contaminated L		-
Comment 2023 impact on AQMA. AQMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer comment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG assessment 2021 Contaminated Land difficer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Officer Comments 202		Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Red Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Hona Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones A428		
Comment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Cortaminated Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Amber	1 -	-
Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Won Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Amber Ambe		-
Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Amber Amb		-
Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Coverall Suitability Score Red Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Officer Officer Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Officer Officer Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Officer Comments will be required. Officer Comments 2021 Officer Grade 4 Officer Comments 2021 Officer Grade 4 Officer Comments 2021 Officer Comme		-
Officer Comments 2023 planning conditions will be required. Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Cand Classification Von Agricultural Cand Classification Von Agricultural Cand Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones A428		Amber
Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Red Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural And Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Cand Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Aed		
Officer Comments 2021 Overall Suitability Score Red Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Hon Agricultural Cland Classification Offication Offication Non Agricultural Cland Classification Non Agricultural Cland Classification Urban Source Protection Zone O Highways England Zones A428		-
Further constraints Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Cland Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Cland Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones A428		-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Von Agricultural Agricultural Cland Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Overall Suitability Score	Red
Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones O O O O O O O O O O Highways England Zones A428	Further constraints	_
Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones 100 0 0 100 100 100 100 100		0
Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones O		0
Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones Agricultural Agricul		100
Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones A428		0
Classification Urban Source Protection Zone 0 Highways England Zones A428	Classification Non	0
Highways England Zones A428		0
	Source Protection Zone	0
Available -	Highways England Zones	A428
	Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	81
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



Land north east of Bourn assessment



A map of Land north east of Bourn

Site information	-
Site ID	115641
HELAA Site ID	51612
Suitable Site Area (ha)	180.17
Ward/Parish	Caldecote
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Growth around transport nodes: Cambourne Area
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	3500
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (1%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (5%) Surface Water Flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development in this location would significantly alter the size, shape and significance of Bourn as a settlement. To some extent, the site is 'separated' from Bourn by a series of large paddocks and estate plots such that a strip of 'countryside buffer' could be achieved, however, the site would constitute a new village on it's own. Any development would need to be separated from Bourn such that it does not appear as a large agglomeration of development.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-