
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025 Air Quality Officer Comment 2025 Air Quality Officer Comment 2023 AQMA RAG Assessment Quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation. AQMA RAG Assessment 2021 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Air Quality Officer Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG		
Comment 2025 AQMA RAG Assessment 2023 Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Apricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Ivban Classification Ivban Agricultural Land Agricultural L		-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023  Air Quality Officer Comment 2023  Amber 2021  Ar Quality Officer Comment 2023  Amber 2021  Ar Quality Officer Comment 2021  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023  The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.  Amber  Contaminated Land Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Overall Suitability Score  Further constraints  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Hon Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  A428		-
Quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will require inherent / intrinsic designed in Air Quality mitigation. Amber	,	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 without mitigation. Site does not lie within an AQMA.  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban Contamination Cont		quality issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment. The site is located outside an AQMA but there is potential for an impact on AQMA which will
Comment 2021 without mitigation. Site does not lie within an AQMA.  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023  Contaminated Land Cofficer Comments 2023  Contaminated Land Cofficer Comments 2023  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Cofficer Comments 2021  Coreall Suitability Score Red  Further constraints  - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone 1  Highways England Zones  without mitigation. Site does not lie within an AQMA.		Amber
Assessment 2025 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021 Coverall Suitability Score Red Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4 Agricultural Land Classification Hon Agricultural Land Classification Hon Agricultural Land Classification Urban Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Amber  Amb		
Officer Comments 2025 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023 Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Cortaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Cortaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Overall Suitability Score Further constraints  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Woha Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Amber  The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site previously affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the		-
Assessment 2023  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023  The additional information provided does not significantly affect environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Overall Suitability Score Red  Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Hona Agricultural Cland Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  Advage Adv		-
environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic contamination and planning conditions will be required.  Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Overall Suitability Score Red  Further constraints  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural and the previously in agricultural land classification or magnicultural and Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones		Amber
Assessment 2021  Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021  Overall Suitability Score Further constraints  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Orade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Sification Non Agricultural Cland Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural and use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural and use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.  Previous agricultural use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.		environmental health issues or mitigation. Therefore the assessment of the site remains unchanged since the original assessment as this is a site previously in agricultural use with the potential for historic
Officer Comments 2021 conditions required.  Overall Suitability Score Red  Further constraints - Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones A428		Amber
Further constraints  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone Highways England Zones  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O		, ,
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  O  100  100  100  100  100  100  100	Overall Suitability Score	Red
Classification Grade 1  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural  Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  100  0  0  100  100  100  100  100	Further constraints	-
Classification Grade 2  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural Agricultural Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  O  O  O  Highways England Zones  O  O  Highways England Zones  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O		0
Classification Grade 3  Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural  Agricultural  Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  O  O  O  Highways England Zones  O  O  O  Highways England Zones	0	100
Classification Grade 4  Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural  Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  Agricultural  Agricul		0
Classification Non Agricultural  Agricultural Land Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone  Highways England Zones  A428		0
Classification Urban  Source Protection Zone 0  Highways England Zones A428	Classification Non	0
Highways England Zones A428	0	0
	Source Protection Zone	0
Available -	Highways England Zones	A428
	Available	-

Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and mixed-use development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	392
Estimated employment space (m2)	100000
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	120
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



### Land south of A428 and west of the A1198, Caxton assessment



### A map of Land south of A428 and west of the A1198, Caxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115585
HELAA Site ID	51601
Suitable Site Area (ha)	331.56
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Growth around transport nodes: Cambourne Area
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	6560
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 6% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the landscape character. it would amalgamate with the new strategic site of Cambourne West with a significant impact upon the rural villages of Caxton and Eltisley and the rural countryside. No buffer would be available between the new strategic site and appear congruous with the local landscape character and an urbanisation of the rural landscape. Even with a significant reduction in residential numbers with landscape mitigation measures the harm would still be significant adverse and permanent.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	
Biodiversity and	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require consideration of impact on nearby SSSIs. Any developments which could cause air pollution would require consultation with Natural England. Caxton Moats County Wildlife site is adjacent to the south-east boundary (would need to be buffered /protected). Wooded copses, grassland margins, boundary hedgerows and watercourses may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Eastern Brook transects site and scheme would need to be carefully designed to accommodate the watercourse. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Records for great crested newt and badger within site. Buildings and mature trees may support roosting bats (if suitable).
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of this site would have an impact on the setting of a Grade II listed building and a Scheduled Monument; however, if the was kept at a good distance from Pastures Farmhouse, it may be possible to reasonably mitigate that impact by layout, design and massing.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in a landscape of extensive Iron Age and Roman activity. Development will have a direct impact on a Scheduled Monument within the site and will affect the setting of Scheduled Monuments in Eltisley to the west.


Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.

AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	No evidence of landowner support for submission
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years
Available RAG	Red
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has not been promoted by the landowner and or developer and therefore it is not known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Amber
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	4973
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	225-230
Development completion timescales (years)	11-15 Years



## 28 The Green, Eltisley assessment



### A map of 28 The Green, Eltisley

Site information	-
Site ID	115586
HELAA Site ID	52746
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.54
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	16200
Proposed residential capacity	32
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 8% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 5% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Whilst the site is largely limited in visibility from the surrounding area, it serves as a buffer between the village of Eltisley and the A428. Development would introduce back land development which is largely uncharacteristic of such a small village. The village includes a number of paddocks and smaller enclosed fields which buffer the settlement from the wider countryside. This characteristic would be partly lost by development of this site and as such would cause harm to the setting of the village.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new housing developments will require assessment of increased visitor pressure on nearby SSSI. There are no apparent priority habitats within the site; however, there are buildings, grasslands, woodland areas, hedges, and wooded boundaries on site that are likely to have ecological value. Applications may find provision of a 10% net gain in biodiversity difficult within their redline boundaries and will need to find off-site compensation to comply with up-coming National legislation and developing local policies.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site runs along the rear of the conservation area boundary and forms a significant part of the rural setting of the houses alongside the green including 4 Grade II listed properties. Development of the site would cause substantial harm, or severe or significant "Less than substantial harm" to the Garde II listed properties which cannot be reasonably mitigated.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located on the northern edge of the historic village core. Extensive late prehistoric and Roman archaeology also known in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-

Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	Yes - 40% covenants until March 2025
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	Amber
Acmevable	•
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential and/ or non-residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	41
Estimated employment space (m2)	16200
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



### Land south of St Neots Road, Eltisley assessment



### A map of Land south of St Neots Road, Eltisley

Site information	-
Site ID	115587
HELAA Site ID	40403
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.56
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Group Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	14
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 11% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zone 2 (taking into account climate change) and/or is within an area at high, medium or low risk from surface water flooding.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site would adversely impact valued countryside frontages along St. Neots Road as well as introduce significant back land development into an area of countryside. Development of the site would likely incur the loss of a significant number of trees along St Neots Road. Development of the site would harm the NCA/LCA in the local area and incur significant, unacceptable change to the small group village of Eltisley
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs. Site appears to have dense treelines/wooded boundaries which may qualify as Habitat of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and include mature and veteran trees. May support protected and notable species. Grassland diversity will need to be assessed. Great crested newt likely to be present in ponds within 100m (recent records). Applications may find provision of a net gain in biodiversity of a minimum of 10% difficult within their red line boundaries and may need to find offsite compensation.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment	-
2025	
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site is in close proximity a listed church. The impacts of development on that and the Conservation Area can be reasonably mitigated but depend on quantum, tree retention, scale, layout and landscaping.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located to the immediate west of the medieval parish church
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021 Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	No prior history of development
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
developer or landowner	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.

Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	16
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



### Land south of St Neots Road, Eltisley assessment



### A map of Land south of St Neots Road, Eltisley

Site information	-
Site ID	115588
HELAA Site ID	40457
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.58
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	40
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 7% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 14% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The site would adversely impact valued countryside frontages along St. Neots Road as well as introduce significant amounts of development into an area of countryside. Development of the site would harm the NCA/LCA in the local area and incur significant, unacceptable change to the small group village of Eltisley
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments would require an assessment of recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs. Boundary habitats including trees and hedgerows may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Otherwise site (arable) likely to be of low ecological value although may support farmland bird populations.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Eltisley has a highly distinctive village form with a central triangular green and linear development away from the centre. The adjacent part of the village is particularly sensitive in containing the Grade II* church. A large development site such as this must be extremely carefully managed to sustain and respect the village form without detraction. Development on the site must preserve not only the form of the village, and views, but also the experiential qualities around SS John the Baptist and Pandionia.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located to the west of the medieval parish church
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-

Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site will not have a detrimental impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	43
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years



## Land north of Croxton Rectory, off A428, Eltisley assessment



### A map of Land north of Croxton Rectory, off A428, Eltisley

Site information	-
Site ID	115589
HELAA Site ID	40401
Suitable Site Area (ha)	14.75
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	400
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 4% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	This is a large agricultural field to the north west of the village of Eltisley. It is a rural location, outside the village settlement framework. Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact upon the landscape character. It would be an encroachment into the countryside, detached from the settlement of Eltisley and an urbanisation of the rural landscape. Even with a reduction in residential numbers and landscape mitigation measures the impact would be still be an adverse impact, incongruous with the local landscape characteristics and permanent.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impacts on nearby SSSIs. Discharge of more than 20m³ per day to surface or ground water will require consultation with Natural England. County Wildlife site (Croxton Park) 25m to south of main road will need to be protected. Wooded habitat to south and hedgerows may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. These habitats should be retained wherever possible. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value although may support farmland bird populations. Pond within 50m may support great crested newt if suitable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Cropmarks of probable late prehistoric or Roman date are recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design. The Local Planning Authority will need to consult with the Highway Agency, as National Highway Authority, in respect to the proposed site.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Reasonably large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	221
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



# Land south of A428, Croxton assessment



### A map of Land south of A428, Croxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115590
HELAA Site ID	56133
Suitable Site Area (ha)	11.63
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	350
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 7% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development upon this site would have a significant adverse impact to the local landscape character. it would be an encroachment into the countryside, urbanisation of the rural landscape, permanent and significantly enlarge the village of Croxton. Development would also erode the special character and distinctiveness of the historic park and garden. Even with a reduction in residential units the harm would still be significantly adverse, incongruous and unacceptable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Red

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new residential developments would require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. Any discharge to ground or surface water of more than 20m³/day would require consultation with Natural England. Entire site is within Croxton Park County Wildlife Site, comprising Habitats of Principal Importance, so development at this site would be unacceptable. Protected and notable species are also likely to be present.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site would have a detrimental impact on designated sites, or those with a regional or local protection which cannot be reasonably mitigated or compensated as appropriate.
Policy RAG Rating 2025 Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Historic Environment Comments 2021	The site is Immediately adjacent to conservation area and curtilages of Grade II listed buildings. Development could have a harmful impact on Conservation Area and setting of Listed Buildings if it is visible through spaces in High Street. Landscaping and layout are key to avoiding this.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located adjacent to the designated site of Croxton Deserted Medieval village
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Red
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-


Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Reasonably large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.

Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	174
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	50
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



## Land south of A428, Eltisley assessment



## A map of Land south of A428, Eltisley

Site information	-
Site ID	115591
HELAA Site ID	56134
Suitable Site Area (ha)	37.03
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages / New Settlement
Category of settlement	Not within or adjacent to an existing settlement
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	1100
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 9% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 3% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 8% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is at low risk of flooding (within flood zone 1) and no risk from surface water flooding
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	Development on this site would have a significant adverse impact to the local landscape character. The site, on the west side of the B1040 would be separated from Eltisley and would not form a coherent or logical extension to the village and would urbanise a significant area of rural landscape. Development would also erode the special character and distinctiveness of the historic park and garden. Even with a reduction in residential units the harm would still be significantly adverse, incongruous and unacceptable.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	

Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All new residential developments would require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. Any discharge to ground or surface water of more than 20m³/day would require consultation with Natural England. Adjacent to Croxton Park County Wildlife site and appropriate margin/buffer would need to be protected. Wooded boundaries, watercourses and hedgerows are likely to be Habitats of Principal Importance/of high ecological value. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland bird populations. Protected and notable species are also likely to be present. There are great crested newt records within 250m. All schemes need to deliver at least 10% measurable biodiversity net gain.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Features of Iron Age date recorded in the area
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-


Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation. The site is capable of being developed to provide healthy internal and external environments in regard to noise / vibration/ odour/ Light Pollution after careful site layout, design and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Large site and lots of residential units - potential for AQMA traffic impact without mitigation
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	100
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	6-10 Years

Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	555
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	6-10 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	120
Development completion timescales (years)	6-10 Years



## Land off St Peters Lane and Tates Field, Caxton assessment



## A map of Land off St Peters Lane and Tates Field, Caxton

Site information	-
Site ID	115592
HELAA Site ID	40423
Suitable Site Area (ha)	4.22
Ward/Parish	Caxton & Papworth
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Greenfield
Category of site	Dispersal: Villages
Category of settlement	Within or adjacent to Infill Village
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	75
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Partly in Flood Zone 2 (4%). Partly in Flood Zone 3 (22%) Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site is within flood zones 3a or 3b.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Landscape Comment 2021	The proposed site would have a significant impact on the linear and small nature of the Caxton settlement. Caxton remains a very linear small village extending along four main cross routes and only just beginning to extend along a fifth, which if continued would change the form and shape of the village into more of a triangular one. This development would begin to set a precedent for that change which is not supported.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber

Biodiversity and	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational
Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	impacts on nearby SSSIs. Boundary habitats including hedgerows and trees may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance/be of high ecological value and support protected or notable species. Watercourse along northern boundary likely to be of high ecological importance and support protected/notable species. Will need to be buffered within scheme design. Arable habitats likely to be of low ecological value, although may support farmland birds. Ponds within 50m may support great crested newt as there are records nearby. Grass snake records nearby.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Red
Historic Environment Comments 2021	This is a highly sensitive location with likely impact on setting of Grade II* and Grade II listed buildings, manorial earthworks adjacent to site also and adjacent to Conservation Area boundary Development is likely impact on the character and setting of multiple heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Located in the medieval core of the village with earthwork remains of the shrunken village recorded to the immediate west.
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Amber
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Green

Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Minimal traffic impact on AQMA.
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Previous agricultural land use. Potential for historic contamination, conditions required.
Overall Suitability Score	Red
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	1.64
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	98.36
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	0
Source Protection Zone	100
Highways England Zones	A428
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and residential development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.