Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 2% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Landscape Comment 2023	The site is currently developed and includes residential (Flatted), community, and retail uses. Landscape impacts lie primarily in the potential loss of canopy cover. Proposals must seek to retain as much of the tree stock as possible, particularly large and mature varieties. Unit numbers seem high for this suburban location and may put undue constraints on landscape and ability to deliver open space. Recommend that further consideration to development numbers against landscape and townscape impacts is addressed at the planning stage.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. Otherwise no likely impact on designated sites. Boundary trees and hedges may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance and/or be of ecological value in the site context. Otherwise, site likely to be of low ecological value, although buildings may support roosting bats and nesting birds (if suitable). Opportunities to deliver biodiversity net gain.

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2023	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	Burials of Saxon date recorded in the vicinity
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Green
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-

Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	Would not generate the need for a Transport Assessment but requires pedestrian links to existing highway.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads and proposed land uses will need to be carefully considered and designed to protect the amenity of sensitive uses. Therefore, development is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation eg EVCP - City

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	100
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site is an existing Local Plan Allocation and one of the site promoters has confirmed that part of the site is available for development.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	32
Estimated employment space (m2)	1150
Estimated start date	0-5 years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

GREATER CAMBRIDGE SHARED PLANNING

Urban Intelligence

636 - 656 Newmarket Road (Policy 27 - R6) assessment



A map of 636 - 656 Newmarket Road (Policy 27 - R6)

Site information	-
Site ID	115515
HELAA Site ID	OS045
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.01
Ward/Parish	Abbey
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	75
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood Zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1. Surface Water Flooding: 2% lies in a 1 in 30 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event. 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	The site contains areas at high, or medium risk from surface water flooding and/or the site contains some land in Flood Zones 2 and/or 3 but there is sufficient land in Flood Zone 1 to accommodate at least 5 additional dwellings or an increase of 500 square metres of employment floorspace.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Landscape Comment 2021	The site is currently developed and includes residential (Flatted), religious uses, community centre, and childcare. Landscape impacts lie primarily in the potential loss of canopy cover. Proposals must seek to retain as much of the tree stock as possible, particularly large and mature varieties. Unit numbers seem high for this suburban location and may put undue constraints on landscape and ability to deliver open space. Recommend that a reduction in unit numbers is considered to ensure that buffering and internal existing and proposed vegetation is achievable
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	All residential developments will require an assessment of recreational impact on nearby SSSIs. Otherwise no likely impact on designated sites. Boundary trees and hedges may qualify as Habitats of Principal Importance and/or be of ecological value in the site context. Otherwise, site likely to be of low ecological value, although buildings may support roosting bats and nesting birds (if suitable). Opportunities to deliver biodiversity net gain.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on a designated site, or those with a regional or local protection but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated.
Policy RAG Rating 2025	-
Policy Officer Comment 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	-
Historic Environment Comments 2025	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2021	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	Burials of Saxon date recorded in the vicinity
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Green
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	-

Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	The proposed site is acceptable in principle subject to detailed design.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	Green
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	Would not generate the need for a Transport Assessment but requires pedestrian links to existing highway.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	The proposed site will be affected by road traffic noise from nearby main roads and possible industrial commercial if coexists with existing Community Centre / MUGA but is acceptable in principle subject to appropriate detailed design considerations and mitigation.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-

AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	Site does not lie within an AQMA. Will require inherent / intrinsic designed in AQ mitigation eg EVCP - City
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	100
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site is an existing Local Plan Allocation and confirmation of its availability has been confirmed in the Greater Cambridge Housing Trajectory and Five year Housing Land Supply
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	Yes, Planning permission granted for 6 temporary homes
When will the site be available for development?	0-5 Years
Available RAG	Green
Achievable	-

Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	Land is known to be available, evidenced through the published housing trajectory. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable at an appropriate density.
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	27
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Ekin Road and Ekin Walk assessment



A map of Ekin Road and Ekin Walk

Site information	-
Site ID	116105
HELAA Site ID	200827
Suitable Site Area (ha)	2.53
Ward/Parish	Abbey
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously developed land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	Residential
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	134
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event and 4% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Landscape Comment 2025	The western boundary of the site is protected open space, which contributes positively to the character of the wider neighbourhood and must be retained. Higher density development could be accommodated by the site, provided that the development is designed sympathetically to the existing context, including existing trees and built context.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described would not provide any specific ecological risks to statutory or non-statutory designated sites. However, this does not remove the likelihood of protected and priority species being impacted, nor that a development of the type described would likely be eligible for mandatory biodiversity net gain.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site includes protected open space designation (Amenity Green Space). Development of the site may have a detrimental impact on these protected open space designations, but the impact could be reasonably mitigated or compensated. Within 50m of an Amenity Green Space and within 50m of a Protected Open Space.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Green
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to further detail and consultation at the planning application stage.

Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	Any potential impact on the functioning of trunk roads and/or local roads could be reasonably mitigated. The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use. A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	Having regard to the nature, size, scale, location and character of the area, considered a negligible / low adverse noise constraint impact / effect risk on proposed residential occupiers having regard to existing noise sources – no objection. Demolition and construction impacts and potential operational noise for example Air Source Heat Pump/s (ASHP/s) etc a constraint but manageable via conditions.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Amber

Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site falls outside the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). However, due to the scale of the site, the number of units proposed and the location, air quality mitigation measures and conditions may be necessary to offset impacts on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Brownfield site but only ever used for residential use, very low risk of contamination
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	100
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No

Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber
Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	61
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Christ the Redeemer Church and East Barnwell Community Centre, Newmarket Road, Cambridge, CB5 8RS assessment



A map of Christ the Redeemer Church and East Barnwell Community Centre, Newmarket Road, Cambridge, CB5 8RS

Site information	-
Site ID	116110
HELAA Site ID	200820
Suitable Site Area (ha)	0.6
Ward/Parish	Abbey
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously developed land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	Education / Community
Proposed development	Residential
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	0
Proposed residential capacity	52
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	Flood zone: Wholly in Flood Zone 1; Surface water flooding: 3% lies in a 1 in 30 year event, 1% lies in a 1 in 100 year event and 1% lies in a 1 in 1000 year event
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Landscape Comment 2025	Provided existing landscape features such as trees are integrated with the development and the scale and height of development is sensitive to the surrounding context, development would be acceptable.
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	A development of the size and scale described would not provide any specific ecological risks to statutory or non-statutory designated sites. However, this does not remove the likelihood of protected and priority species being impacted, nor that a development of the type described would likely be eligible for mandatory minimum biodiversity net gain.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	Development of the site would not have a detrimental impact on any designated site, or those with a regional or local protection.
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-

Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-
Policy RAG Rating 2025	Amber
Policy Officer Comment 2025	Site wholly contains an Outdoor Sports Facility (multi-use games area). Its loss would impact on local accessibility to outdoor sports facilities. Development of the site would result in a loss of open space which would be required to be replaced locally.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2025	Green
Historic Environment Comments 2025	Development of the site would have either a neutral or positive impact, but importantly not have a detrimental impact on any designated or non-designated heritage assets.
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2023	-
Historic Environment Comments 2023	-
Historic Environment RAG Assessment 2021	-
Historic Environment Comments 2021	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Archaeology Officer Comment 2025	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2023	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2023	-
Archaeology RAG Assessment 2021	-
Archaeology Officer Comment 2021	-
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Automated	Green
Accessibility RAG Assessment 2025 - Officer Verified	-
Accessibility Comment 2025	Good accessibility to key local services, transport, and employment opportunities. Proposed development would not require delivery of accompanying key services
Site Access RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Site Access Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site is acceptable in principle, subject to further detail and consultation at the planning application stage.

Site Access RAG Assessment 2023	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2023	-
Site Access RAG Assessment 2021	-
Site Access Officer Comment 2021	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2025	The development would need to provide mitigation to reduce the vehicle impact and encourage active travel and public transport use. A Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan will be required.
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2023	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2023	-
Transport and Roads RAG Assessment 2021	-
Transport and Roads Guideline Comments 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2025	Newmarket Road traffic noise can have a significant adverse noise impact on health and quality of life / amenity both internally and externally. However, with the consideration of good acoustic design for example layout, orientation, mitigation / insulation early in the design process, noise can be mitigated and reduced to an acceptable level / effect.
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2023	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution RAG Assessment 2021	-
Noise, Vibration, Odour and Light Pollution Guideline Comments 2021	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Air Quality Officer Comment 2025	The site has been scored as Amber. The site falls outside the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). However, due to the scale of the site, the number of units proposed and the location, air quality mitigation measures and conditions may be necessary to offset impacts on designated AQMAs.
AQMA RAG Assessment 2023	-

Air Quality Officer Comment 2023	-
AQMA RAG Assessment 2021	-
Air Quality Officer Comment 2021	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2025	Amber
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2025	Brownfield site, contamination expected, conditions required
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2023	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2023	-
Contaminated Land RAG Assessment 2021	-
Contaminated Land Officer Comments 2021	-
Overall Suitability Score	Amber
Further constraints	-
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 1	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 2	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 3	0
Agricultural Land Classification Grade 4	0
Agricultural Land Classification Non Agricultural	0
Agricultural Land Classification Urban	100
Source Protection Zone	0
Highways England Zones	Cambridge
Available	-
Is the site controlled by a developer or landowner who has expressed an intention to develop?	The site was submitted by the landowner and/or site promoter who has confirmed that the site is available for development in the timescales indicated.
Are there known legal or ownership impediments to development?	No
Is there planning permission to develop the site?	No relevant recent planning history
When will the site be available for development?	0 to 5 years
Available RAG	Amber

Achievable	-
Is there a reasonable prospect that the site will be developed?	The land has been promoted by the landowner and or developer and is known to be available for development. The site has a low existing use value and development is likely to be economically viable
Achievable RAG	Green
Capacity	-
Prevailing Density (weighted) (dwellings per ha)	30
Residential capacity at prevailing density	17
Estimated employment space (m2)	0
Estimated start date	0-5 Years
Estimated annual build-out rate (pa)	40-75
Development completion timescales (years)	0-5 Years

Land at Barnwell Road and Newmarket Road assessment



A map of Land at Barnwell Road and Newmarket Road

Site information	-
Site ID	116351
HELAA Site ID	OS272
Suitable Site Area (ha)	1.68
Ward/Parish	Abbey
Greenfield or Previously Developed?	Previously Developed Land
Category of site	Densification of existing urban areas
Category of settlement	Cambridge City
Current use(s)	-
Proposed development	Mixed use
Proposed employment floorspace (m2)	500
Proposed residential capacity	154
Suitability	-
Adopted Development Plan Policies RAG 2025	Amber

Adopted Development Plan Policies Comment 2025	Development of the site has some potential policy constraints, but these could be overcome through the planning application process.
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2025	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2025	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2023	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2023	-
Flood Risk RAG Assessment 2021	-
Flood Risk Officer Comment 2021	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2025	-
Landscape Comment 2025	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2023	-
Landscape Comment 2023	-
Landscape RAG Assessment 2021	-
Landscape Comment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2025	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2023	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity RAG Assessment 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Officer Comments 2021	-
Biodiversity and Geodiversity Guideline Comments 2021	-